

DEPARTMENT OF CLIMATE CHANGE, ENERGY, THE ENVIRONMENT AND WATER

MB23-002255

To: Minister for the Environment and Water

**MEETING WITH THE RIGHT HONOURABLE THE LORD MAYOR OF ADELAIDE,
DR JANE LOMAX SMITH AM**

Timing: For meeting on 29 March 2023

Date	29 March 2023
Time	11-11.30am
Location	APH M1.48
Meeting with	The Right Honourable Lord Mayor of Adelaide, Dr Jane Lomax Smith AM City of Adelaide (Adelaide City Council)
Prior meetings	The department is not aware of any prior meetings. .
Is this meeting organised by a lobbyist organisation?	No
If so, are they registered on the Australian Government Register of Lobbyists?	
The Lobbyist Register - Australian Government Lobbyist Register (ag.gov.au)	
What we want	To hear from the Lord Mayor about the World Heritage proposal for the <i>Adelaide Park Lands and City Layout, and rural settlement areas of the Mount Lofty Ranges</i>. s. 22 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Talking points are provided at <u>Attachment A</u>.
What they want	The Lord Mayor's Office has provided an agenda for the meeting (<u>Attachment B</u>).

The agenda notes that the Lord Mayor would like to discuss support for the World Heritage nomination for the *Adelaide Park Lands and City Layout, and rural settlement areas of the Mount Lofty Ranges*.

It also mentions concerns about the proposed new Women's and Children's Hospital and its impact on the National Heritage values of *Adelaide Park Lands and City Layout*, and potential implications for the proposed World Heritage nomination.

Issues/Sensitivities

Further information and background on these issues is provided at **Attachment C**.

S. 22

Proposed World Heritage nomination: *Adelaide Park Lands and City Layout, and rural settlement areas of the Mount Lofty Ranges*

5. On 23 January 2023 the Hon Susan Close MP, Deputy Premier of South Australia, wrote to you advising that the SA Government has committed to work with the

Clearing Officer:	Suzi Heaton	A/g Branch Head, Heritage Branch	Ph: 02 5156 4147 Mob: S. 47F(1)
Contact Officer:	S. 22	Director, Cultural Heritage Section	Ph: S. 22 Mob: S. 22

Adelaide City Council to investigate a bid for Adelaide and its surrounds to be nominated to the World Heritage List (**Attachment F**).

6. There has been media attention on the matter, highlighting the disconnect between the SA Government's support of the World Heritage nomination and its intent to develop the nWCH within the Adelaide Park Lands.
7. The department has not seen details of the proposed nomination, including the boundary or potential heritage values, and therefore is unable to provide a position on whether the nWCH poses a 'threat' to the World Heritage nomination.

s. 22

Consultation

YES

The department is in regular contact with officials in Heritage SA and the project team for the nWCH proposal.

Clearing Officer:	Suzi Heaton	A/g Branch Head, Heritage Branch	Ph: 02 5156 4147 Mob: s. 47F(1)
Contact Officer:	s. 22	Director, Cultural Heritage Section	Ph: s. 22 Mob: s. 22

Attachments**Attachment A:** Suggested talking points**Attachment B:** Agenda for the meeting**Attachment C:** Background**Attachment D:** s. 22 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]**Attachment E:** s. 22 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]**Attachment F:** South Australian letter of intent to progress a World Heritage nomination, 23 January 2023

Clearing Officer:	Suzi Heaton	A/g Branch Head, Heritage Branch	Ph: 02 5156 4147 Mob: s. 47F(1) [REDACTED]
Contact Officer:	s. 22 [REDACTED]	Director, Cultural Heritage Section	Ph: s. 22 [REDACTED] Mob: s. 22 [REDACTED]

Attachment A**Suggested talking points – Meeting with Lord Mayor of Adelaide****Update on World Heritage proposal for Adelaide and its surrounds**

- The South Australian Deputy Premier, the Hon Susan Close, wrote in January 2023 to advise that the South Australian Government has committed to work with the Adelaide City Council to investigate a bid for Adelaide and its surrounds to be nominated to the World Heritage List.
- World Heritage listing takes a long time and the Deputy Premier's advice that the tentative listing process will take up to two years is noted.

Seeking support for World Heritage bid

- The World Heritage Intergovernmental Agreement outlines that the states are responsible for preparing World Heritage tentative list submissions and nominations, in consultation with the Australian Government.
- The Australian Government is responsible for ensuring the documentation is prepared in accordance with the requirements of the World Heritage Convention and Operational Guidelines, and for submitting it to the World Heritage Committee.
- My department is available to provide advice on this process as needed.
- Australian Government support will be considered when the relevant documents are prepared and consultation has been undertaken.

s. 22

A large section of the document is redacted with grey bars. It includes a bulleted list with four items, each consisting of multiple lines of text. The redaction covers the entire content of this section.**Potential impacts on the World Heritage proposal from developments in the Park Lands**

- The potential for impacts on the World Heritage proposal will depend on what values are assessed as being of World Heritage significance.
- An analysis could then be undertaken of the impacts of specific proposals against these values.

s. 22

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Agenda Meeting with Minister Plibersek

29 March, Canberra

Agenda Items

- Update on World Heritage Bid process progress.
 - Nomination is for ***World Heritage Listing: the Adelaide Park Lands and city layout, and rural settlement areas of the Mount Lofty Ranges.***
 - Driven in collaboration with Adelaide Hills Council representing Councils across the Mount Lofty Ranges (Mayor Jan-Claire Wisdom).
 - Threats to success
- Seeking World Heritage Bid support from Minister Plibersek.

- s. 22 [REDACTED]

Lord Mayor's Key Points

- Lord Mayor of City of Adelaide, which has care and control of approx. 80% of the Park Lands, the SA State Government has care and control of the remainder.
- Presiding Member of Kadaltilla / Park Lands Authority which:
 - Plays a key policy role with respect to the management and protection of the Park Lands
 - Provides comments and advice in relation to the operation of any lease, licence or other form of grant of occupation of land within the Park Lands
 - Provides advice to the Adelaide City Council and to the Minister on policy, development, heritage, and management issues affecting the Park Lands
 - More generally seeks to ensure the interests of South Australians are taken into account, and support activities to protect or enhance the Park Lands
- *A staunch supporter of the conservation of* Heritage places and the Park Lands
- Committed to no net loss of park lands and no further encroachment on Parklands land, and actively engaged with State on their various projects which threat the Park Lands.
- Previously Lord Mayor of Adelaide 1997-2000
- Long-standing interest and passion for the City of Adelaide and the Park Lands as State Member of Parliament 2002-2010 – Minister for the City of Adelaide and Minister for Tourism

Attachment C**Background**

s. 22 [REDACTED]

- | [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- | [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- | [REDACTED]
- | [REDACTED]
- | [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

s. 22 [REDACTED]

- | [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- | [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- | [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Proposed World Heritage nomination: Adelaide Park Lands and City Layout, and rural settlement areas of the Mount Lofty Ranges

- The World Heritage bid for Adelaide and its surrounds is a joined-up bid of two previously separate proposals: The Adelaide Park Lands and the Mount Lofty Ranges.
- The nomination is being led by the Adelaide City Council, in collaboration with other local councils within the Mount Lofty Region.
- The South Australian Government anticipates the tentative listing process will take up to two years to ensure a robust proposal is developed and appropriate consultation is undertaken, including with First Nations groups.

s. 22 [redacted]
[redacted]

[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]

[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]

[redacted]
[redacted]

[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]

[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]

[redacted]
[redacted]

[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]

[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]

OFFICIAL

**Government
of South Australia**

Reference: CEW22/01265

Hon Tanya Pilbersek MP
Minister for the Environment and Water
Parliament House
PO Box 6022
CANBERRA SA 2600

The Hon Susan Close MP
Deputy Premier
Minister for Climate, Environment and Water
Minister for Industry, Innovation and Science
Minister for Defence and Space Industries
Level 13, State Administration Centre
200 Victoria Square, Adelaide SA 5000
Tel (08) 8226 8520
Email: OfficeoftheDeputyPremier@sa.gov.au

Dear Minister,

The South Australian government has committed to work with the Adelaide City Council to investigate a bid for Adelaide and its surrounds to be nominated for World Heritage status.

A local government alliance has developed a proposal for a joined-up bid for the Adelaide Park Lands and Mount Lofty Ranges. The proposal has been subjected to technical review by World Heritage experts **s. 47F(1)** and a further technical workshop on 25-26 August 2022.

The World Heritage proposal contends that the nineteenth century property comprising Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes, including the early Adelaide plan, is of Outstanding Universal Value as exceptional evidence of the Wakefield systematic colonisation model, an important and influential model in the history of European free migration and colonial settlement. The proposal contends that the site represents the most complete realisation of British colonial settlement planning in the world, and/or a major achievement of such colonial planning.

I have written to the Lord Mayor of the City of Adelaide and the Mayor of the Adelaide Hills Council to provide support for the Tentative Listing process to commence. Through the technical work undertaken so far, I believe there is a basis for pursuing further investigations for a World Heritage nomination. It is likely that the Tentative Listing process will take up to 2 years to ensure that there is a robust proposal, identify candidate areas for nomination, identify management issues, and undertake community consultation and obtain First Nations support. The latter in particular is important given the nature of the proposal. Early discussions with First Nations groups have confirmed that potentially significant truth-telling and awareness building will be required for the community. Technical experts suggest that there is a case for demonstrating how the settlement utilised existing settlement patterns of the original inhabitants.

Released by DCCFEW under the FOI Act 1982

I have advised the local government consortium that my support is conditional on all of the requirements being met for a robust Tentative Listing document, and eventual state and Australian government support for the final document.

My department has from time to time kept your department briefed on the status of this proposal and will continue to liaise as the local government consortium develops the Tentative Listing document.

Yours sincerely



Hon Susan Close MP
Deputy Premier
Minister for Climate, Environment and Water

23 / 1 / 2023



S. 47F(1)

S. 47F(1)

S. 47F(1)

M. S. 47F(1)

E. S. 47F(1)

29 September 2022
Senator the Hon Penny Wong
Minister for Foreign Affairs
Via email

RE: MINISTERIAL GRIEVANCE SUBMISSION

I make Ministerial Grievance and bring to the Ministers attention the following;

Background

The present State Government of South Australia is proposing a new location for Womens and Childrens Hospital within Adelaide Parklands precinct. The Parklands are subject to ongoing application for UNESCO Heritage Listing. The proposed development site would destroy a connected Parklands footprint and potential for UNESCO listing.

Public comment now exists that if this construction occurs the Adelaide Parklands will no longer be able to attain UNESCO World Heritage listing.

Ministerial Grievance

1. What risk assessment if any has been conducted as to Foreign Affairs implications by the proposal to vitiate UNESCO World Heritage listing?
2. What reputational loss internationally has been considered by losing ability for United Nations recognition part way through the application process?
3. How will the Minister placate First Nations people grievances regarding further environmental destruction of scare city landscapes where kinship occurs as to current proposal?
4. As a South Australian resident and Senator is the Senator personally for or against the present development at its present location of SAPOL Barracks and Gaol?

Yours sincerely,

S. 47F(1)



Australian Government
Department of Climate Change, Energy,
the Environment and Water

MC22-010407

s. 47F(1)

s. 47F(1)

Dear s. 47F(1)

Thank you for your email to the Minister for Foreign Affairs, Senator the Hon Penny Wong, concerning the new Women's and Children's Hospital development in Adelaide. Your correspondence has been referred to the Minister for the Environment and Water, the Hon Tanya Plibersek MP. Minister Plibersek has asked me to thank you for your correspondence and to reply on her behalf.

I understand that the Adelaide City Council has been working with the Adelaide Hills Council to develop a World Heritage nomination that includes the Adelaide Park Lands. *The Australian World Heritage Intergovernmental Agreement* (2009) outlines the process and responsibilities for World Heritage nominations within Australia. The Agreement states that the preparation of new World Heritage nominations is the responsibility of the relevant state or territory government, in consultation with the Commonwealth, relevant local government bodies and interested groups. A World Heritage nomination for the Adelaide Park Lands has not yet been received from the South Australian Government.

s. 22

Thank you again for bringing your concerns to the Government's attention.

Yours sincerely

James Barker
Branch Head
Heritage Branch

30 November 2022

DCCEEW.gov.au

John Gorton Building - King Edward Terrace, Parkes ACT 2600 Australia
GPO Box 3090 Canberra ACT 2601 ABN: 63 573 932 849

OFFICIAL

**Government
of South Australia**

Reference: CEW22/01265

Hon Tanya Pilbersek MP
Minister for the Environment and Water
Parliament House
PO Box 6022
CANBERRA SA 2600

The Hon Susan Close MP
Deputy Premier
Minister for Climate, Environment and Water
Minister for Industry, Innovation and Science
Minister for Defence and Space Industries
Level 13, State Administration Centre
200 Victoria Square, Adelaide SA 5000
Tel (08) 8226 8520
Email: OfficeoftheDeputyPremier@sa.gov.au

Dear Minister, *Tanya*

The South Australian government has committed to work with the Adelaide City Council to investigate a bid for Adelaide and its surrounds to be nominated for World Heritage status.

A local government alliance has developed a proposal for a joined-up bid for the Adelaide Park Lands and Mount Lofty Ranges. The proposal has been subjected to technical review by World Heritage experts **s. 47F(1)**, and a further technical workshop on 25-26 August 2022.

The World Heritage proposal contends that the nineteenth century property comprising Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes, including the early Adelaide plan, is of Outstanding Universal Value as exceptional evidence of the Wakefield systematic colonisation model, an important and influential model in the history of European free migration and colonial settlement. The proposal contends that the site represents the most complete realisation of British colonial settlement planning in the world, and/or a major achievement of such colonial planning.

I have written to the Lord Mayor of the City of Adelaide and the Mayor of the Adelaide Hills Council to provide support for the Tentative Listing process to commence. Through the technical work undertaken so far, I believe there is a basis for pursuing further investigations for a World Heritage nomination. It is likely that the Tentative Listing process will take up to 2 years to ensure that there is a robust proposal, identify candidate areas for nomination, identify management issues, and undertake community consultation and obtain First Nations support. The latter in particular is important given the nature of the proposal. Early discussions with First Nations groups have confirmed that potentially significant truth-telling and awareness building will be required for the community. Technical experts suggest that there is a case for demonstrating how the settlement utilised existing settlement patterns of the original inhabitants.

Released by DCCFEW under the FOI Act 1982

I have advised the local government consortium that my support is conditional on all of the requirements being met for a robust Tentative Listing document, and eventual state and Australian government support for the final document.

My department has from time to time kept your department briefed on the status of this proposal and will continue to liaise as the local government consortium develops the Tentative Listing document.

Yours sincerely



Hon Susan Close MP
Deputy Premier
Minister for Climate, Environment and Water

23 / 1 / 2023



s. 47F(1)

The HonTanya Plibersek MP
Minister for the Environment and Water
PO Box 6022
House of Representatives
Parliament House
Canberra ACT 2600
via Email: *Minister.Plibersek@dcceew.gov.au*
& via Australia Post

Dear Minister Plibersek

Re: The South Australian Womens and Children's Hospital development and consequent relocation of the Police Barracks to the South West City Parklands.

s. 22

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

s. 22



I submit for your attention that both the South Australian Womens and Children's Hospital development and consequent relocation of the Police Barracks to the South West City Parklands development proposals are "actions ", which are unacceptable.

In particular, the relocation of the Police Barracks will result in a 8 hectare area set aside for constructions of permanent buildings, stabling, horse facilities and security fencing. This is in an open area of the Parklands which has been revegetated over the last 15 years by volunteers to reinstate indigenous vegetation (refer to [https://www.adelaide-parklands.asn.au/blog/2023/3/15/axing-restored-bushland-for-barracks. \)](https://www.adelaide-parklands.asn.au/blog/2023/3/15/axing-restored-bushland-for-barracks.)

In doing so, I ask that you advise the SA Labor Government that both these proposals are not appropriate and should not proceed. If these proposed developments proceed, the heritage values of the Adelaide Park Lands (its Colonel Light planning, parklands and city layout) will be severely compromised. As a consequence any seeking of World Heritage Listing, as also currently proposed by the SA Government, will be ludicrous.

World Heritage Listing could offer significant benefits to our city, with international recognition and increased tourism a result of our significant Colonel Light city layout which still survives survives intact This will only be possible if our parklands are protected, rather than be seen as "free land" by the Government for inappropriate development.

If these proposed developments proceed, the heritage values of the Adelaide Park Lands (its Colonel Light planning, parklands and city layout) will be severely compromised. As a consequence any seeking of 2nd World City Park status internationally, as also currently proposed by the SA Government, will be ludicrous.

World Heritage Listing could offer significant benefits to our city, with international recognition and increased tourism a result of our significant Colonel Light city layout which still survives relatively intact This will only be possible if our park lands are protected, rather than be seen as "free land" by the Government for inappropriate development. In short, an environmental, economic and social tragedy.

Sincerely,

s. 47F(1)



Released by DCCFEW under the FOI Act 1982



5 April 2023

The Hon Tania Plibersek MP

Minister for the Environment and Water

Sent via email: Minister.Plibersek@dcceew.gov.au tanya.plibersek.mp@aph.gov.au

Re: Proposed development on Adelaide Park Lands impacting National Heritage Values

Dear Minister,

I am writing on behalf of a number of environmental organisations - Trees For Life, Conservation Council SA, Nature Conservation SA, Butterfly Conservation SA and the Adelaide Park Lands Association - in relation to the proposed relocation of the South Australian police mounted operations unit to Golden Wattle Park / Mirnu Wirra (Park 21 West) in the National Heritage-listed Adelaide Park Lands.

The proposed relocation of the South Australian Mounted Unit would result in the alienation from the Park Lands of eight (8) hectares, for the construction of permanent buildings, horse-stabling and other horse facilities. This compound would need to be surrounded by high security fencing rendering the entire area inaccessible to the public.

s. 22

[Redacted text block]

[Redacted text block]

[Redacted text block]



s. 22

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

World heritage bid

A fenced enclosure of 8 hectares within any part of the Adelaide Park Lands would severely compromise the heritage values of the entire Adelaide Park Lands. As a consequence, any seeking of World Heritage Listing, as also currently proposed by the SA Government, would be ludicrous. World Heritage Listing could offer significant benefits to our city, with international recognition and increased tourism a result of our significant



Colonel Light city layout much of which has survived since the layout was created in 1837.

s. 22

We request that you, as Federal Minister and as a matter of urgency, advise the South Australian Government that this proposal would severely compromise the heritage and ecological values of the Adelaide Park Lands and City Layout on which the National Heritage listing of the Park Lands and City Layout are based, is incompatible with the protection of an protected ecological community and will negatively impact the prospect of World Heritage listing with its potential to enhance future tourism.

We look forward to hearing from you. Please contact me on s. 47F(1) or s. 47F(1) if you require any further information.

Yours sincerely,

s. 47F(1)

s. 47F(1)

Chief Executive Officer

Cc The Hon Ted Baillieu Chair, Australian Heritage Council
Email: ahc@environment.gov.au

DEPARTMENT OF CLIMATE CHANGE, ENERGY, THE ENVIRONMENT AND WATER
BACK POCKET BRIEF
HERITAGE, REEF AND OCEAN DIVISION

2.14

ADELAIDE PARK LANDS**OUTCOME:** 2.4 Conservation of Australia's Heritage and Environment**ISSUE**

- s. 22 [REDACTED]
- A media report on 5 March 2023 stated that the federal government has “dropped a bombshell” on the state’s bid for World Heritage listing (**Attachment B**).

KEY POINTS

- s. 22 [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

Clearance Officer:	Suzi Heaton, A/g Heritage Branch Head	Phone: s. 47F(1) [REDACTED]
Division/Agency:	Larsen Group, Heritage, Reef and Ocean Division	Last updated: 6.3.23
Witness Responding:	Suzi Heaton	Cleared:

- The department understands there is a proposal for a World Heritage nomination of Adelaide and its surrounds currently being developed by a consortium of local councils with support from the South Australian Government.
- The department has not seen the detail of this proposal or the values being suggested and is therefore unable to comment on whether the new Women and Children's Hospital development would affect this.

BACKGROUND

- s. 22 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- On 23 January 2023 the Hon Susan Close MP, Deputy Premier of South Australia, wrote to Minister Plibersek to advise that the South Australian Government has committed to work with the Adelaide City Council to investigate a bid for Adelaide and its surrounds to be nominated to the World Heritage List (**Attachment C**).
 - o This a joined-up bid of two previously separate proposals: the Adelaide Park Lands and the Mount Lofty Ranges.
 - o The South Australian Government anticipates the tentative listing process will take up to two years to ensure a robust proposal is developed and appropriate consultation is undertaken, including with First Nations groups.

- s. 22 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]

ATTACHMENTS

- A:** s. 22 [REDACTED]
B: Media article, Adelaide Advertiser, 5 March 2023
C: Letter from the SA Government regarding World Heritage proposal

s. 22 [REDACTED]

DEPARTMENT OF CLIMATE CHANGE, ENERGY, THE ENVIRONMENT AND WATER
BACK POCKET BRIEF
HERITAGE, REEF AND OCEAN DIVISION

2.12

ADELAIDE PARK LANDS

OUTCOME: 2.4 Conservation of Australia's Heritage and Environment

ISSUE

- s. 22 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- A media report on 5 March 2023 stated the federal government has “dropped a bombshell” on the state’s bid for World Heritage listing of the Adelaide Park Lands and its surrounds (**Attachment B**).

KEY POINTS

- s. 22 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Clearance Officer:	Katrina Maguire, Division Head	Phone: s. 47F(1) [REDACTED]
Division/Agency:	Heritage, Reef and Ocean Division	Last updated: 8/5/23
Witness Responding:	Katrina Maguire/Suzi Heaton	Cleared: 15/5/23

- s. 22 [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

World Heritage nomination proposal

- The department understands there is a proposal for a World Heritage nomination of Adelaide and its surrounds currently being developed by a consortium of local councils with support from the South Australian Government.
- The department has not seen the detail of this World Heritage proposal or the values being suggested and is therefore unable to comment on whether the new Women and Children's Hospital development would affect this.

BACKGROUND

- s. 22 [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- Both the South Australian Government and the Adelaide City Council support a bid for Adelaide and its surrounds to be nominated to the World Heritage List. On 23 January 2023 the Hon Susan Close MP, Deputy Premier of South Australia, wrote to Minister Plibersek to advise that the South Australian Government has committed to work with the Adelaide City Council on the proposal (**Attachment D**).

- o This a joined-up bid of two previously separate proposals: the Adelaide Park Lands and the Mount Lofty Ranges.
- o The South Australian Government anticipates the tentative listing process will take up to two years to ensure a robust proposal is developed and appropriate consultation is undertaken, including with First Nations groups.
- s. 22 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

ATTACHMENTS

- A:** s. 22 [REDACTED]
B: Media article, Adelaide Advertiser, 5 March 2023
s. 22 [REDACTED]
D: Letter from the SA Government regarding World Heritage proposal

[Back to top](#)

S. 22

S. 22

Parklands in peril

By MILES KEMP

Sunday Mail

Sunday 5th March 2023

406 words

Page 26 | Section: General

247cm on the page



Parklands in peril

Warning over World Heritage status

MILES KEMP

THE federal government has dropped a bombshell on the state's bid for World Heritage status for the parklands, blaming the Women's and Children's Hospital development for the potential derailment.

The nation's peak heritage body the Australian Heritage Council has warned Adelaide City Council the WCH development "will severely compromise the values on which the National Heritage listing is based, have a significant and unacceptable impact on the parklands".

"The South Australian government has demonstrated what appears to be complete disregard for the National Heritage listing and obligations to protect the Park Lands and its values," it said.

In the letter to Adelaide Lord Mayor Jane Lomax-Smith, AHC chairman Ted Baillieu states any go-ahead for the hospital - to the west of the RAH site - would trigger legal requirements for a



Jane Lomax-Smith

federal investigation. Via investigation, the federal government has the power to make changes and, in very rare cases, even scrap state projects that would have a "significant impact" on National Heritage.

Dr Lomax-Smith told the Sunday Mail: "This is a significant statement from the National Heritage Council and something we take seriously."

But a state government spokeswoman dismissed Mr Baillieu's concerns, pointing out he had also opposed the former government's \$662m stadium and arena proposal for the parklands.

The parklands were included in the National Heritage List - a subset of the World Heritage List - in 2008.

The letter will be tabled at an Adelaide City Council meeting on Tuesday along

with the council's advice that the warning also puts World Heritage nomination of the parklands at risk.

The act of parliament passed late last year to begin the development overnight stripped all State Heritage status from buildings at the site, some of which are more than 100 years old.

The site has been home to SA Police's mounted police unit from as early as 1838, only a few years after European settlement of the region.

The state government, Adelaide City Council and Adelaide Hills Council joined forces for the current World Heritage bid, encompassing the significant layout of the city, settlements in the Mt Lofty Ranges and the parklands itself.

Park Lands Association Society president Shane Sody said the current government had "launched" six attacks on the parklands in its first year in office, including the WCH development, new aquatic centre, and new bitumen roads in park 15 and Victoria Park.

S. 22

OFFICIAL



Reference: CEW22/01265

Hon Tanya Pilbersek MP
Minister for the Environment and Water
Parliament House
PO Box 6022
CANBERRA SA 2600

The Hon Susan Close MP
Deputy Premier
Minister for Climate, Environment and Water
Minister for Industry, Innovation and Science
Minister for Education and Sports Development
100 Victoria Road, Adelaide 5000
Tel: (08) 8224 8500
Email: info@sa.gov.au

Dear Minister,

The South Australian government has committed to work with the Adelaide City Council to investigate a bid for Adelaide and its surrounds to be nominated for World Heritage status.

A local government alliance has developed a proposal for a joined-up bid for the Adelaide Park Lands and Mount Lofty Ranges. The proposal has been subjected to technical review by World Heritage experts [S. 47F\(1\)](#) and a further technical workshop on 25-26 August 2022.

The World Heritage proposal contends that the nineteenth century property comprising Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes, including the early Adelaide plan, is of Outstanding Universal Value as exceptional evidence of the Wakefield systematic colonisation model, an important and influential model in the history of European free migration and colonial settlement. The proposal contends that the site represents the most complete realisation of British colonial settlement planning in the world, and/or a major achievement of such colonial planning.

I have written to the Lord Mayor of the City of Adelaide and the Mayor of the Adelaide Hills Council to provide support for the Tentative Listing process to commence. Through the technical work undertaken so far, I believe there is a basis for pursuing further investigations for a World Heritage nomination. It is likely that the Tentative Listing process will take up to 2 years to ensure that there is a robust proposal, identify candidate areas for nomination, identify management issues, and undertake community consultation and obtain First Nations support. The latter in particular is important given the nature of the proposal. Early discussions with First Nations groups have confirmed that potentially significant truth-telling and awareness building will be required for the community. Technical experts suggest that there is a case for demonstrating how the settlement utilised existing settlement patterns of the original inhabitants.

I have advised the local government consortium that my support is conditional on all of the requirements being met for a robust Tentative Listing document, and eventual state and Australian government support for the final document.

My department has from time to time kept your department briefed on the status of this proposal and will continue to liaise as the local government consortium develops the Tentative Listing document.

Yours sincerely



Hon Susan Close MP
Deputy Premier
Minister for Climate, Environment and Water

25 / 1 / 2023



Adelaide & its Rural Settlement
~~City of Adelaide & Greater~~ ^{Scapes}
~~Environ~~ World Heritage.

S. 47F(1)

S. 22

Released by DCCFEW under the FOI Act 1982

2 Expert Reviews by S. 47F(1)
 - Mt Lofty Ranges (with S. 47F(1))
 - City of Adelaide Bid for Park Lands
 & City Layout (recomm. that City ~~put~~ itself
 be explored)
 Urban Part to the Rural Story (with
 ↳ One Part of the Same Story. S. 47F(1))

S. 47F(1)

→ took a part. view (?)

- ① Wakefield Systematic Colonisation Model.
- ②. Most complete realisation of British Colonial settlement planning in the world & / or a major achievement of such.
- ①. Systematic VS previously unsystematic.
- ② All essential elements of the "Grand Model" of Planned cities
Düsseldorf e.g. of migration -
80 acre/ blocks.
hectare

Colonisation

- Nom. must recognise whole story incl. Ab. sovereignty
- An opp'ty for truth telling.
- Nom. can only proceed with community consent - FAC.

Doc. as an Attachment on Scope.

Part of Col. Strategy included looking after Indig. people -
idea was based on them being nomad.
Was imposed on another cultures highly evolved scope.

many values expressed as both tangible & intang.

→ Reflected in naming & 2 cultures sitting side by side.
→ All fundamental history should be recogn. in a W.H. listing.

~~Kaurna~~

Only consent determination. &

Alexander's hand.(?)

Painting in Ad's Gallery of SA.

Major encampment.

Henry Bridge → Myponga.

→ Extent of Kaurna ownership.

Ad. Hills → known families, no native title dec. (another group).

↓ seeking engage through Kaurna on their own terms

s. 47C

Ho. business / their business.

s. 47F(1)

Key to FPIC is:

Engage early / directly & openly

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

in Chat →

s. 47F(1)

Heroes in this space. SA does really well.

Stages →

1. Identify & engage

2. "

"

Oral history documentary

& →

PEO

→

Brady

Bin

3. Study & learn from other Projects

Goldfield
esp
Trudis
Brady
Bin

geospatial info. with a spec.
plan to castings -

- ④ Based on this initial research,
provide a ~~plan~~ draft story.

S. 47F(1)

- ⊗ Talk to ^{s. 22(1)(a)(ii)} re level of comfort
for Aust. Submitting mem.
Robust & Defensible. Tent. List subm.

How closely do we actually
work with States.

?? Who is leading process?
(State Govt will support).

- ⊗ Check we don't need Cab. approval
↳ this was clarified last year.

Concept of FPIC ~~was~~ integral to this

FPIC → No national standards to
how you do it.

AHC provides some C'linon

S. 22

S. 22

s. 47F(1)

- ⊗ Prel. Assess^t → don't do All work
Just half, & then can go forward
with Confidence.
- What does Prel. Assess^t actually
mean? What will they tell you?
→ Give clear direction where they
see strengths / weaknesses

S. 22

S. 22

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2022 2:52 PM
To: James Barker; s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Cc: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Subject: South Australia world heritage listing [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Good afternoon,

The ABC has published an article this afternoon discussing South Australia considering a World Heritage nomination for "Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes" focusing on the British colonisation of the area. I am forwarding in case this is not yet on your radar.

<https://www.abc.net.au/news/2022-06-20/first-nations-perspective-needed-for-sa-world-heritage-listing/101166522>


s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

Heritage Officer | Natural Heritage | Heritage, Reef and Ocean Division



s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment
John Gorton Building, Parkes ACT 2600
Ngunnawal Country
awe.gov.au



The department acknowledges the traditional custodians of Australia and their continuing connection to land, sea, environment, water and community. We pay our respect to the traditional custodians, their culture, and elders both past and present.

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: James Barker
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 9:54 AM
To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii); s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Subject: FW: Invitation to World Heritage Nomination Workshop, Adelaide, 25-26 August 2022
Attachments: Invitation to World Heritage Workshop 24-25 Aug 2022.pdf

Hi s. 22(1)(a)(ii). Can you attend this one?

From: s. 47F(1) @sa.gov.au>
Sent: Sunday, 14 August 2022 1:25 PM
To: James Barker <James.Barker@environment.gov.au>
Subject: Fw: Invitation to World Heritage Nomination Workshop, Adelaide, 25-26 August 2022

OFFICIAL

Hi James, re-sending with invitation only and without the large attachments, which were blocked at your end. Cheers s. 47F(1)

From: s. 47F(1) @sa.gov.au>
Sent: Sunday, 14 August 2022 12:52 PM
To: james.barker@environment.gov.au <james.barker@environment.gov.au>
Subject: Fw: Invitation to World Heritage Nomination Workshop, Adelaide, 25-26 August 2022

Hi James, as discussed on Friday, see attached the invitation for the workshop on World Heritage for Adelaide and Rural Settlements Landscape. I had suggested to the team that they invite someone from your group, so you can check and I am happy to let them know the person's contact details. Cheers s. 47F(1)

s. 47F(1)
 A/Director, National Parks Programs

National Parks and Wildlife Service South Australia
 M s. 47F(1)
 L9, 81-95 Waymouth Street, Adelaide SA 5000
 GPO Box 1047, Adelaide SA 5001

parks.sa.gov.au  



We acknowledge that the lands that we live and work on are the traditional lands of South Australia's First Nations peoples. We pay respect to the traditional custodians of these ancestral lands and acknowledge their deep spiritual connection to Country.

Information contained in this email message may be confidential and may also be the subject of legal professional privilege or public interest immunity. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of this document is unauthorised.

From: s. 47F(1) <[REDACTED]@cityofadelaide.com.au>
Sent: Thursday, 11 August 2022 10:00 AM
To: s. 47F(1) <[REDACTED]@sa.gov.au>
Cc: s. 47F(1) <[REDACTED]@cityofadelaide.com.au>
Subject: Invitation to World Heritage Nomination Workshop, Adelaide, 25-26 August 2022

Dear s. 47F(1)

Please find attached your invitation to attend the two-day workshop on the World Heritage Nomination for Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes on Thursday 25th and Friday 26th August 2022.

The invitation includes a short version of the program. A detailed program will be sent to you closer to the date.

Please also find attached copies of the three expert reports for reading ahead of the workshop.

I am assisting with some of the administrative tasks ahead of this workshop. When emailing me your response it would be very helpful if you could let me know the following:

- Please confirm you are able to attend both days
- Tell me if you have any dietary requirements / requests
- Confirm whether you are attending the dinner on Thursday evening (and if so please select one of the dinner options from the attached menu)
- Advise me of your current Covid-19 vaccination status.

Sincerely,

s. 47F(1)

s. 47F(1)
City Planning & Heritage Support Officer
City Planning & Heritage
 4th Floor 25 Pirie Street
 Adelaide, South Australia, 5000

s. 47F(1)
 s. 47F(1)
 s. 47F(1) <[REDACTED]@cityofadelaide.com.au>



www.cityofadelaide.com.au



Think before you print!

The contents of this e-mail are confidential and may be subject to privilege and copyright. This e-mail is intended for the named recipient only and if you have received this e-mail in error please notify the City Of Adelaide immediately on +61(8) 8203 7203. The views expressed in this e-mail are, unless otherwise stated, those of the author and do not reflect the views, policy or position of the City of Adelaide and the City of Adelaide accepts no responsibility for any such opinions, advice or information.



INVITATION

TWO-DAY WORKSHOP

ADELAIDE AND ITS RURAL SETTLEMENT LANDSCAPES
WORLD HERITAGE NOMINATION WORKSHOP

THURSDAY 25 AND FRIDAY 26 AUGUST 2022

On behalf of the City of Adelaide and the Adelaide Hills Council
(on behalf of local councils from the Mt Lofty Ranges)
you are invited to participate in a Two-Day workshop related to
the proposed Adelaide and Rural Settlement Landscapes
World Heritage nomination.

Workshop Purpose and Background

The workshop will test the findings of expert reviews related to the World Heritage potential of Adelaide and the associated rural settlement landscapes with a wider range of experts, representatives and stakeholders. This includes to confirm potential Outstanding Universal Value, consider attributes and boundaries, as well as the workplan for developing a nomination.

The workshop will be facilitated by s. 47F(1)

Attached is background information that would be useful source of information to look at prior to the workshop.

Further Information

If you would like to obtain further information about the content of the Workshop, please contact s. 47F(1) s. 47F(1)

Event Details

DAY 1 – THURSDAY 25 AUGUST 2022

Workshop

Venue	Noel Lothian Hall, Adelaide Botanic Garden, Adelaide
Arrival Time	8:45 AM
Start Time	9:00 AM
Finish Time	5:00 PM

Pre-Dinner Drinks and Dinner

Venue	The Stag Hotel, corner of Rundle Street and East Terrace
Time	6:30 PM

DAY 2 – FRIDAY 26 AUGUST 2022

Workshop

Venue	Beerenberg Farm, Hahndorf
Arrival Time	9:00 AM
Start Time	9:15 AM
Final Presentation*	4:00 PM (includes drinks and informal discussions)
Finish Time	5:30 PM

* The final presentation will be held at a different venue in Hahndorf – venue to be confirmed.

Cost

The Two-Day Workshop is a free event. Morning tea, lunch and afternoon tea will be provided. Dinner will also be provided on Thursday night however alcoholic beverages will be at the attendee's expense.

RSVP and Contact Point

Please RSVP to s. 47F(1) at s. 47F(1)@cityofadelaide.com.au by Wednesday, 17 August 2022.

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Sent: Friday, August 19, 2022 11:26 AM
To: James Barker; s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Cc: s. 22(1)(a)(ii); s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Subject: FW: Program for the Workshop on World Heritage Nomination for Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes, 25-26 August 2022 [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Attachments: Program for WH Nomination Workshop 25-26 Aug 2022 - Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes.pdf

Hi all,

Just passing on for info – detailed program for next week’s Adelaide WH workshop.
 Will take lots of notes and report back.

Cheers,

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: s. 47F(1) @cityofadelaide.com.au>
Sent: Friday, 19 August 2022 10:50 AM
To: s. 47F(1) @cityofadelaide.com.au>
Subject: Program for the Workshop on World Heritage Nomination for Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes, 25-26 August 2022

Dear Expert Participants,

Please find attached the Program for this two day workshop.

The first day of the workshop, on Thursday 25 August, will be held at **Noel Lothian Hall** within the **Adelaide Botanic Garden**. ([Botanic Gardens of South Australia | Adelaide Botanic Garden](#))

Noel Lothian Hall is at the ‘back’ of the heritage-listed Tram Barn (now the State Herbarium), which is located between the Rose Garden, the Kitchen Garden and the Bicentennial Conservatory, overlooking the pond.

The closest garden entry point is the entrance off Hackney Road, between the Goodman Building and the State Herbarium. There are car parking areas either side of this entrance.

Google maps link: <https://goo.gl/maps/jh8f4uhvkgP83eGc9>



Released by DCCCEW under the FOI Act 1982

The venue for day two, Friday 26 August, will be the Conservatory Meeting Room at **Beerenberg Farm**, 2106 Mount Barker Road, Hahndorf. ([Strawberry Farm](#) | [Australian Jam](#) | [Beerenberg Farm Hahndorf](#))

At 4.00pm the workshop moves to **Grunthal**, 827 Mount Barker Road, Verdun, ([Grünthal Brew – Grunthal](#)) for the Conclusion session.

I hope you have a lovely weekend and I look forward to meeting you next Thursday.

Sincerely,

s. 47F(1)

s. 47F(1)

City Planning & Heritage Support Officer
City Planning & Heritage

4th Floor 25 Pirie Street
Adelaide, South Australia, 5000

s. 47F(1)

@cityofadelaide.com.au

Ten Gigabit
Adelaide



www.cityofadelaide.com.au



Think before you print!

The contents of this e-mail are confidential and may be subject to privilege and copyright. This e-mail is intended for the named recipient only and if you have received this e-mail in error please notify the City Of Adelaide immediately on +61(8) 8203 7203. The views expressed in this e-mail are, unless otherwise stated, those of the author and do not reflect the views, policy or position of the City of Adelaide and the City of Adelaide accepts no responsibility for any such opinions, advice or information.

Released by DCCFEW under the FOI Act 1982

ADELAIDE AND ITS RURAL SETTLEMENT LANDSCAPES WORLD HERITAGE NOMINATION TWO-DAY WORKSHOP

Thursday 25 August and Friday 26 August 2022

Purpose

A workshop to test the findings of expert reviews related to the World Heritage potential of Adelaide and the rural settlement landscapes of the Mount Lofty Ranges with a wider range of experts. This includes to confirm potential Outstanding Universal Value, consider attributes and boundaries, as well as the workplan for developing a nomination.

DAY 1 Thursday 25 August 2022

Venue Noel Lothian Hall, Adelaide Botanic Garden, Hackney Road

Time	Activity
8.45	Arrival
9.00	Welcome to Country by s. 47F(1)
9.10	Welcome by Lord Mayor Sandy Verschoor, City of Adelaide
9.20	Background and general overview of World Heritage and nominations by s. 47F(1)
10.00	An overview of the Flinders Ranges nomination process to date, including key lessons relevant to the proposed Adelaide and Rural Settlement Landscapes nomination by s. 47F(1)
10.15	Q+A on presentations
10.40	Morning Tea
11.00	Presentation of the background to and findings of the expert reviews by s. 47F(1)
12.00	Q+A on presentation / Discussion
12.30	Lunch
1.30	Q+A / Discussion continued
2.00	Group discussions about potential Outstanding Universal Value
3.00	Afternoon Tea
3.30	Plenary discussion about potential Outstanding Universal Value
4.45	Summary of the day and outcomes
5.00	End of Workshop Day 1
6.30	Pre-Drinks and Dinner at The Stag Public House, 299 Rundle Street Corner of Rundle Street and East Terrace

DAY 2 Friday 26 August 2022**Venue Beerenberg Farm, 2106 Mount Barker Rd, Hahndorf****Venue 4:00pm move to Grunthal, 827 Mount Barker Road, Verdun
for the Conclusion Session at**

Time	Activity
8.45	Arrival
9.00	Acknowledgement of Country
9.10	Welcome by Mayor Jan-Claire Wisdom, Adelaide Hills Council
9.20	Reflection on draft Outstanding Universal Value
10.00	Plenary discussion – is there a basis for a World Heritage nomination, that is, a good case for Outstanding Universal Value?
10.30	Morning Tea
11.00	Presentation of sample attributes and boundary mapping by s. 47F(1)
11.30	Discussion about attributes and boundaries
12.30	Lunch
1.30	Presentation on the proposed nomination workplan by s. 47F(1)
2.00	Plenary discussion on workplan and recommendations
3.00	Afternoon Tea
3.30	Final general discussion on overall nomination project and conclusions [The workshop will be joined at the end of this session by a range of stakeholders]
4.00	Venue: Grunthal - 827 Mount Barker Road, Verdun Final presentation of key findings and conclusions of the workshop by s. 47F(1) followed by drinks / informal discussions.
5.30	Workshop Close

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: s. 47F(1) @cityofadelaide.com.au>
Sent: Monday, July 31, 2023 6:18 PM
To: s. 47F(1) ; s. 47F(1) ; s. 47F(1) ; s. 47F(1) ; s. 47F(1) ;
s. 47F(1) @barossa.sa.gov.au; s. 47F(1) @ahc.sa.gov.au; s. 47F(1) ; s. 47F(1) ;
s. 47F(1) ; s. 47F(1) @onkapringa.sa.gov.au; s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Cc: s. 47F(1) ; s. 47F(1) ; s. 47F(1)
Subject: Agenda Pack - Oversight Committee - Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes (ARSL) - 1 August 2023 10:30-12:00pm (Adelaide)
Attachments: ARSL OC 1 Aug 2023 - Item 2.1.1 - Adelaide and Rural Settlement Landscapes World Heritage Report by s. 47F(1) (December 2021).PDF; ARSL OC 1 Aug 2023 - AGENDA.DOCX; ARSL OC 1 Aug 2023 - Item 2.3.1 - Letter from Minister Close (23Jan2023).PDF; ARSL OC 1 Aug 2023 - Item 3.1.1 - World Heritage Oversight Committee draft Terms of Reference.DOCX; ARSL OC 1 Aug 2023 - Item 3.3.2 - Draft Minutes PMG 3 July 2023.DOCX; ARSL OC 1 Aug 2023 - Item 3.1.3 - ARSL Governance Roadmap (PMG Approved).PDF; ARSL OC 1 Aug 2023 - Item 3.1.4 - Calendar of Meetings for World Heritage Groups and Committees 2023 24.DOCX; ARSL (World Heritage) Detailed Governance Overview -DRAFT (18.07.2023).PDF; World Heritage First Nations Consultant Brief - June 2023.PDF

On behalf of the Oversight Committee, please find attached Agenda and meeting papers.

Kind regards,

s. 47F(1)

s. 47F(1)
Senior Heritage Architect
City Planning & Heritage
2nd Floor 25 Pirie Street
Adelaide, South Australia, 5000
s. 47F(1)
@cityofadelaide.com.au



Think before you print!

The contents of this e-mail are confidential and may be subject to privilege and copyright. This e-mail is intended for the named recipient only and if you have received this e-mail in error please notify the City Of Adelaide immediately on +61(8) 8203 7203. The views expressed in this e-mail are, unless otherwise stated, those of the author and do not reflect the views, policy or position of the City of Adelaide and the City of Adelaide accepts no responsibility for any such opinions, advice or information.

Released by DCCFEW under the FOI Act 1982

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer, mobile app or room device

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

Meeting ID: 431 425 977 297

Passcode: piSffu

[Download Teams](#) | [Join on the web](#)

Or call in (audio only)

[+61 2 8318 0009,,136570457#](#) Australia, Sydney

Phone Conference ID: 136 570 457#

[Find a local number](#) | [Reset PIN](#)

[Learn More](#) | [Meeting options](#)

ADELAIDE AND RURAL SETTLEMENT LANDSCAPES WORLD HERITAGE REPORT

s. 47F(1)

for the
City of Adelaide

2021

THE DISTRICT OF ADELAIDE, SOUTH AUSTRALIA;

As divided into Country Sections.

from the Trigonometrical Surveys of

Colonel Light

late Surv. Genl.

John Arrowsmith
10, Soho Square,
1839.

* Below this mark, the river runs to sea during the dry season.
© Stations of the Trigonometrical Survey.
The large numbers contain 134 feet each.
The small "10" "12" "16" "18" "20" "24" "28" "32" "36" "40" "44" "48" "52" "56" "60" "64" "68" "72" "76" "80" "84" "88" "92" "96" "100" "104" "108" "112" "116" "120" "124" "128" "132" "136" "140" "144" "148" "152" "156" "160" "164" "168" "172" "176" "180" "184" "188" "192" "196" "200" "204" "208" "212" "216" "220" "224" "228" "232" "236" "240" "244" "248" "252" "256" "260" "264" "268" "272" "276" "280" "284" "288" "292" "296" "300" "304" "308" "312" "316" "320" "324" "328" "332" "336" "340" "344" "348" "352" "356" "360" "364" "368" "372" "376" "380" "384" "388" "392" "396" "400" "404" "408" "412" "416" "420" "424" "428" "432" "436" "440" "444" "448" "452" "456" "460" "464" "468" "472" "476" "480" "484" "488" "492" "496" "500" "504" "508" "512" "516" "520" "524" "528" "532" "536" "540" "544" "548" "552" "556" "560" "564" "568" "572" "576" "580" "584" "588" "592" "596" "600" "604" "608" "612" "616" "620" "624" "628" "632" "636" "640" "644" "648" "652" "656" "660" "664" "668" "672" "676" "680" "684" "688" "692" "696" "700" "704" "708" "712" "716" "720" "724" "728" "732" "736" "740" "744" "748" "752" "756" "760" "764" "768" "772" "776" "780" "784" "788" "792" "796" "800" "804" "808" "812" "816" "820" "824" "828" "832" "836" "840" "844" "848" "852" "856" "860" "864" "868" "872" "876" "880" "884" "888" "892" "896" "900" "904" "908" "912" "916" "920" "924" "928" "932" "936" "940" "944" "948" "952" "956" "960" "964" "968" "972" "976" "980" "984" "988" "992" "996" "1000" "1004" "1008" "1012" "1016" "1020" "1024" "1028" "1032" "1036" "1040" "1044" "1048" "1052" "1056" "1060" "1064" "1068" "1072" "1076" "1080" "1084" "1088" "1092" "1096" "1100" "1104" "1108" "1112" "1116" "1120" "1124" "1128" "1132" "1136" "1140" "1144" "1148" "1152" "1156" "1160" "1164" "1168" "1172" "1176" "1180" "1184" "1188" "1192" "1196" "1200" "1204" "1208" "1212" "1216" "1220" "1224" "1228" "1232" "1236" "1240" "1244" "1248" "1252" "1256" "1260" "1264" "1268" "1272" "1276" "1280" "1284" "1288" "1292" "1296" "1300" "1304" "1308" "1312" "1316" "1320" "1324" "1328" "1332" "1336" "1340" "1344" "1348" "1352" "1356" "1360" "1364" "1368" "1372" "1376" "1380" "1384" "1388" "1392" "1396" "1400" "1404" "1408" "1412" "1416" "1420" "1424" "1428" "1432" "1436" "1440" "1444" "1448" "1452" "1456" "1460" "1464" "1468" "1472" "1476" "1480" "1484" "1488" "1492" "1496" "1500" "1504" "1508" "1512" "1516" "1520" "1524" "1528" "1532" "1536" "1540" "1544" "1548" "1552" "1556" "1560" "1564" "1568" "1572" "1576" "1580" "1584" "1588" "1592" "1596" "1600" "1604" "1608" "1612" "1616" "1620" "1624" "1628" "1632" "1636" "1640" "1644" "1648" "1652" "1656" "1660" "1664" "1668" "1672" "1676" "1680" "1684" "1688" "1692" "1696" "1700" "1704" "1708" "1712" "1716" "1720" "1724" "1728" "1732" "1736" "1740" "1744" "1748" "1752" "1756" "1760" "1764" "1768" "1772" "1776" "1780" "1784" "1788" "1792" "1796" "1800" "1804" "1808" "1812" "1816" "1820" "1824" "1828" "1832" "1836" "1840" "1844" "1848" "1852" "1856" "1860" "1864" "1868" "1872" "1876" "1880" "1884" "1888" "1892" "1896" "1900" "1904" "1908" "1912" "1916" "1920" "1924" "1928" "1932" "1936" "1940" "1944" "1948" "1952" "1956" "1960" "1964" "1968" "1972" "1976" "1980" "1984" "1988" "1992" "1996" "2000" "2004" "2008" "2012" "2016" "2020" "2024" "2028" "2032" "2036" "2040" "2044" "2048" "2052" "2056" "2060" "2064" "2068" "2072" "2076" "2080" "2084" "2088" "2092" "2096" "2100" "2104" "2108" "2112" "2116" "2120" "2124" "2128" "2132" "2136" "2140" "2144" "2148" "2152" "2156" "2160" "2164" "2168" "2172" "2176" "2180" "2184" "2188" "2192" "2196" "2200" "2204" "2208" "2212" "2216" "2220" "2224" "2228" "2232" "2236" "2240" "2244" "2248" "2252" "2256" "2260" "2264" "2268" "2272" "2276" "2280" "2284" "2288" "2292" "2296" "2300" "2304" "2308" "2312" "2316" "2320" "2324" "2328" "2332" "2336" "2340" "2344" "2348" "2352" "2356" "2360" "2364" "2368" "2372" "2376" "2380" "2384" "2388" "2392" "2396" "2400" "2404" "2408" "2412" "2416" "2420" "2424" "2428" "2432" "2436" "2440" "2444" "2448" "2452" "2456" "2460" "2464" "2468" "2472" "2476" "2480" "2484" "2488" "2492" "2496" "2500" "2504" "2508" "2512" "2516" "2520" "2524" "2528" "2532" "2536" "2540" "2544" "2548" "2552" "2556" "2560" "2564" "2568" "2572" "2576" "2580" "2584" "2588" "2592" "2596" "2600" "2604" "2608" "2612" "2616" "2620" "2624" "2628" "2632" "2636" "2640" "2644" "2648" "2652" "2656" "2660" "2664" "2668" "2672" "2676" "2680" "2684" "2688" "2692" "2696" "2700" "2704" "2708" "2712" "2716" "2720" "2724" "2728" "2732" "2736" "2740" "2744" "2748" "2752" "2756" "2760" "2764" "2768" "2772" "2776" "2780" "2784" "2788" "2792" "2796" "2800" "2804" "2808" "2812" "2816" "2820" "2824" "2828" "2832" "2836" "2840" "2844" "2848" "2852" "2856" "2860" "2864" "2868" "2872" "2876" "2880" "2884" "2888" "2892" "2896" "2900" "2904" "2908" "2912" "2916" "2920" "2924" "2928" "2932" "2936" "2940" "2944" "2948" "2952" "2956" "2960" "2964" "2968" "2972" "2976" "2980" "2984" "2988" "2992" "2996" "3000" "3004" "3008" "3012" "3016" "3020" "3024" "3028" "3032" "3036" "3040" "3044" "3048" "3052" "3056" "3060" "3064" "3068" "3072" "3076" "3080" "3084" "3088" "3092" "3096" "3100" "3104" "3108" "3112" "3116" "3120" "3124" "3128" "3132" "3136" "3140" "3144" "3148" "3152" "3156" "3160" "3164" "3168" "3172" "3176" "3180" "3184" "3188" "3192" "3196" "3200" "3204" "3208" "3212" "3216" "3220" "3224" "3228" "3232" "3236" "3240" "3244" "3248" "3252" "3256" "3260" "3264" "3268" "3272" "3276" "3280" "3284" "3288" "3292" "3296" "3300" "3304" "3308" "3312" "3316" "3320" "3324" "3328" "3332" "3336" "3340" "3344" "3348" "3352" "3356" "3360" "3364" "3368" "3372" "3376" "3380" "3384" "3388" "3392" "3396" "3400" "3404" "3408" "3412" "3416" "3420" "3424" "3428" "3432" "3436" "3440" "3444" "3448" "3452" "3456" "3460" "3464" "3468" "3472" "3476" "3480" "3484" "3488" "3492" "3496" "3500" "3504" "3508" "3512" "3516" "3520" "3524" "3528" "3532" "3536" "3540" "3544" "3548" "3552" "3556" "3560" "3564" "3568" "3572" "3576" "3580" "3584" "3588" "3592" "3596" "3600" "3604" "3608" "3612" "3616" "3620" "3624" "3628" "3632" "3636" "3640" "3644" "3648" "3652" "3656" "3660" "3664" "3668" "3672" "3676" "3680" "3684" "3688" "3692" "3696" "3700" "3704" "3708" "3712" "3716" "3720" "3724" "3728" "3732" "3736" "3740" "3744" "3748" "3752" "3756" "3760" "3764" "3768" "3772" "3776" "3780" "3784" "3788" "3792" "3796" "3800" "3804" "3808" "3812" "3816" "3820" "3824" "3828" "3832" "3836" "3840" "3844" "3848" "3852" "3856" "3860" "3864" "3868" "3872" "3876" "3880" "3884" "3888" "3892" "3896" "3900" "3904" "3908" "3912" "3916" "3920" "3924" "3928" "3932" "3936" "3940" "3944" "3948" "3952" "3956" "3960" "3964" "3968" "3972" "3976" "3980" "3984" "3988" "3992" "3996" "4000" "4004" "4008" "4012" "4016" "4020" "4024" "4028" "4032" "4036" "4040" "4044" "4048" "4052" "4056" "4060" "4064" "4068" "4072" "4076" "4080" "4084" "4088" "4092" "4096" "4100" "4104" "4108" "4112" "4116" "4120" "4124" "4128" "4132" "4136" "4140" "4144" "4148" "4152" "4156" "4160" "4164" "4168" "4172" "4176" "4180" "4184" "4188" "4192" "4196" "4200" "4204" "4208" "4212" "4216" "4220" "4224" "4228" "4232" "4236" "4240" "4244" "4248" "4252" "4256" "4260" "4264" "4268" "4272" "4276" "4280" "4284" "4288" "4292" "4296" "4300" "4304" "4308" "4312" "4316" "4320" "4324" "4328" "4332" "4336" "4340" "4344" "4348" "4352" "4356" "4360" "4364" "4368" "4372" "4376" "4380" "4384" "4388" "4392" "4396" "4400" "4404" "4408" "4412" "4416" "4420" "4424" "4428" "4432" "4436" "4440" "4444" "4448" "4452" "4456" "4460" "4464" "4468" "4472" "4476" "4480" "4484" "4488" "4492" "4496" "4500" "4504" "4508" "4512" "4516" "4520" "4524" "4528" "4532" "4536" "4540" "4544" "4548" "4552" "4556" "4560" "4564" "4568" "4572" "4576" "4580" "4584" "4588" "4592" "4596" "4600" "4604" "4608" "4612" "4616" "4620" "4624" "4628" "4632" "4636" "4640" "4644" "4648" "4652" "4656" "4660" "4664" "4668" "4672" "4676" "4680" "4684" "4688" "4692" "4696" "4700" "4704" "4708" "4712" "4716" "4720" "4724" "4728" "4732" "4736" "4740" "4744" "4748" "4752" "4756" "4760" "4764" "4768" "4772" "4776" "4780" "4784" "4788" "4792" "4796" "4800" "4804" "4808" "4812" "4816" "4820" "4824" "4828" "4832" "4836" "4840" "4844" "4848" "4852" "4856" "4860" "4864" "4868" "4872" "4876" "4880" "4884" "4888" "4892" "4896" "4900" "4904" "4908" "4912" "4916" "4920" "4924" "4928" "4932" "4936" "4940" "4944" "4948" "4952" "4956" "4960" "4964" "4968" "4972" "4976" "4980" "4984" "4988" "4992" "4996" "5000" "5004" "5008" "5012" "5016" "5020" "5024" "5028" "5032" "5036" "5040" "5044" "5048" "5052" "5056" "5060" "5064" "5068" "5072" "5076" "5080" "5084" "5088" "5092" "5096" "5100" "5104" "5108" "5112" "5116" "5120" "5124" "5128" "5132" "5136" "5140" "5144" "5148" "5152" "5156" "5160" "5164" "5168" "5172" "5176" "5180" "5184" "5188" "5192" "5196" "5200" "5204" "5208" "5212" "5216" "5220" "5224" "5228" "5232" "5236" "5240" "5244" "5248" "5252" "5256" "5260" "5264" "5268" "5272" "5276" "5280" "5284" "5288" "5292" "5296" "5300" "5304" "5308" "5312" "5316" "5320" "5324" "5328" "5332" "5336" "5340" "5344" "5348" "5352" "5356" "5360" "5364" "5368" "5372" "5376" "5380" "5384" "5388" "5392" "5396" "5400" "5404" "5408" "5412" "5416" "5420" "5424" "5428" "5432" "5436" "5440" "5444" "5448" "5452" "5456" "5460" "5464" "5468" "5472" "5476" "5480" "5484" "5488" "5492" "5496" "5500" "5504" "5508" "5512" "5516" "5520" "5524" "5528" "5532" "5536" "5540" "5544" "5548" "5552" "5556" "5560" "5564" "5568" "5572" "5576" "5580" "5584" "5588" "5592" "5596" "5600" "5604" "5608" "5612" "5616" "5620" "5624" "5628" "5632" "5636" "5640" "5644" "5648" "5652" "5656" "5660" "5664" "5668" "5672" "5676" "5680" "5684" "5688" "5692" "5696" "5700" "5704" "5708" "5712" "5716" "5720" "5724" "5728" "5732" "5736" "5740" "5744" "5748" "5752" "5756" "5760" "5764" "5768" "5772" "5776" "5780" "5784" "5788" "5792" "5796" "5800" "5804" "5808" "5812" "5816" "5820" "5824" "5828" "5832" "5836" "5840" "5844" "5848" "5852" "5856" "5860" "5864" "5868" "5872" "5876" "5880" "5884" "5888" "5892" "5896" "5900" "5904" "5908" "5912" "5916" "5920" "5924" "5928" "5932" "5936" "5940" "5944" "5948" "5952" "5956" "5960" "5964" "5968" "5972" "5976" "5980" "5984" "5988" "5992" "5996" "6000" "6004" "6008" "6012" "6016" "6020" "6024" "6028" "6032" "6036" "6040" "6044" "6048" "6052" "6056" "6060" "6064" "6068" "6072" "6076" "6080" "6084" "6088" "6092" "6096" "6100" "6104" "6108" "6112" "6116" "6120" "6124" "6128" "6132" "6136" "6140" "6144" "6148" "6152" "6156" "6160" "6164" "6168" "6172" "6176" "6180" "6184" "6188" "6192" "6196" "6200" "6204" "6208" "6212" "6216" "6220" "6224" "6228" "6232" "6236" "6240" "6244" "6248" "6252" "6256" "6260" "6264" "6268" "6272" "6276" "6280" "6284" "6288" "6292" "6296" "6300" "6304" "6308" "6312" "6316" "6320" "6324" "6328" "6332" "6336" "6340" "6344" "6348" "6352" "6356" "6360" "6364" "6368" "6372" "6376" "6380" "6384" "6388" "6392" "6396" "6400" "6404" "6408" "6412" "6416" "6420" "6424" "6428" "6432" "6436" "6440" "6444" "6448" "6452" "6456" "6460" "6464" "6468" "6472" "6476" "6480" "6484" "6488" "6492" "6496" "6500" "6504" "6508" "6512" "6516" "6520" "6524" "6528" "6532" "6536" "6540" "6544" "6548" "6552" "6556" "6560" "6564" "6568" "6572" "6576" "6580" "6584" "6588" "6592" "6596" "6600" "6604" "6608" "6612" "6616" "6620" "6624" "6628" "6632" "6636" "6640" "6644" "6648" "6652" "6656" "6660" "6664" "6668" "6672" "6676" "6680" "6684" "6688" "6692" "6696" "6700" "6704" "6708" "6712" "6716" "6720" "6724" "6728" "6732" "6736" "6740" "6744" "6748" "6752" "6756" "6760" "6764" "6768" "6772" "6776" "6780" "6784" "6788" "6792" "6796" "6800" "6804" "6808" "6812" "6816" "6820" "6824" "6828" "6832" "6836" "6840" "6844" "6848" "6852" "6856" "6860" "6864" "6868" "6872" "6876" "6880" "6884" "6888" "6892" "6896" "6900" "6904" "6908" "6912" "6916" "6920" "6924" "6928" "6932" "6936" "6940" "6944" "6948" "6952" "6956" "6960" "6964" "6968" "6972" "6976" "6980" "6984" "6988" "6992" "6996" "7000" "7004" "7008" "7012" "7016" "7020" "7024" "7028" "7032" "7036" "7040" "7044" "7048" "7052" "7056" "7060" "7064" "7068" "7072" "7076" "7080" "7084" "7088" "7092" "7096" "7100" "7104" "7108" "7112" "7116" "7120" "7124" "7128" "7132" "7136" "7140" "7144" "7148" "7152" "7156" "7160" "7164" "7168" "7172" "7176" "7180" "7184" "7188" "7192" "7196" "7200" "7204" "7208" "7212" "7216" "7220" "7224" "7228" "7232" "7236" "7240" "7244" "7248" "7252" "7256" "7260" "7264" "7268" "7272" "7276" "7280" "7284" "7288" "7292" "7296" "7300" "7304" "7308" "7312" "7316" "7320" "7324" "7328" "7332" "7336" "7340" "7344" "7348" "7352" "7356" "7360" "7364" "7368" "7372" "7376" "7380" "7384" "7388" "7392" "7396" "7400" "7404" "7408" "7412" "7416" "7420" "7424" "7428" "7432" "7436" "7440" "7444" "7448" "7452" "7456" "7460" "7464" "7468" "7472" "7476" "7480" "7484" "7488" "7492" "7496" "7500" "7504" "7508" "7512" "7516" "7520" "7524" "7528" "7532" "7536" "7540" "7544" "7548" "7552" "7556" "7560" "7564" "7568" "7572" "7576" "7580" "7584" "7588" "7592" "7596" "7600" "7604" "7608" "7612" "7616" "7620" "7624" "7628" "7632" "7636" "7640" "7644" "7648" "7652" "7656" "7660" "7664" "7668" "7672" "7676" "7680" "7684" "7688" "7692" "7696" "7700" "7704" "7708" "7712" "7716" "7720" "7724" "7728" "7732" "7736" "7740" "7744" "7748" "7752" "7756" "7760" "7764" "7768" "7772" "7776" "7780" "7784" "7788" "7792" "7796" "7800" "7804" "7808" "7812" "7816" "7820" "7824" "7828" "7832" "7836" "7840" "7844" "7848" "7852" "7856" "7860" "7864" "7868" "7872" "7876" "7880" "7884" "7888" "7892" "7896" "7900" "7904" "7908" "7912" "7916" "7920" "7924" "7928" "7932" "7936" "7940" "7944" "7948" "7952" "7956" "7960" "7964" "7968" "7972" "7976" "7980" "7984" "7988" "7992" "7996" "8000" "8004" "8008" "8012" "8016" "8020" "8024" "8028" "8032" "803

Released by DCCFEW under the FOI Act 1982

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The City of Adelaide has commissioned this report as a further stage in an overall project related to the potential World Heritage nomination for the city and its associated rural landscapes. An earlier stage involved an expert review of the World Heritage potential of the city, as well as consideration of the merits of a combined bid with the Mount Lofty Ranges reflecting the rural settlement landscapes from colonial times.

The tasks in the current stage addressed in this report are as follows:

- producing a single integrated and higher-level document based on the two expert reviews (for Adelaide and the rural settlement landscapes of the Mount Lofty Ranges), to address a single narrative for the combined bid and the possible name for the nominated property; and
- preparing an integrated workplan covering both the Adelaide component and the associated rural settlement landscapes, based on the expert reviews.

The proposed core World Heritage narrative is,

The nineteenth century property comprising Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes, including the early Adelaide plan, is of Outstanding Universal Value as exceptional evidence of the Wakefield systematic colonisation model, an important and influential model in the history of European free migration and colonial settlement. It is the most complete realisation of British colonial settlement planning in the world, and/or a major achievement of such colonial planning.

The colonial settlement also significantly impacted Aboriginal peoples, and a World Heritage nomination would address all aspects of the colonial history including recognition of such impacts.

To achieve World Heritage listing, Adelaide and the associated rural settlement landscapes would need to meet at least one World Heritage criterion. Current research suggests it could meet three criteria, and preliminary value statements are provided.

With regard to the property name, it is recommended to adopt a neutral working name for the time being, with a view to reviewing options later in the process as further stakeholder consultation is undertaken. The suggested neutral working name is,

Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes.



Figure 1. View of the City of Adelaide and its northern Park Lands

Source: [s. 47F\(1\)](#)



Released by DCCCEEW under the FOI Act 1982

CONTENTS

	Executive Summary	i
1.	Introduction	1
2.	Core World Heritage Narrative and Values for Adelaide and the Rural Settlement Landscapes.....	3
3.	Suggested Name for the Nominated Property	10
4.	Integrated Workplan for the World Heritage Tentative List Submission and Nomination.....	11
5.	References	26



Released by DCCFEW under the FOI Act 1982

1. INTRODUCTION

The City of Adelaide has commissioned this report as a further stage in an overall project related to the potential World Heritage nomination for the city and its associated rural settlement landscapes. An earlier stage involved an expert review of the World Heritage potential of the city, as well as consideration of the merits of a combined bid with the Mount Lofty Ranges reflecting the associated rural settlement landscapes from colonial times.

The tasks in the current stage addressed in this report are as follows:

- producing a single integrated and higher-level document based on the two expert reviews (for Adelaide and the rural settlement landscapes of the Mount Lofty Ranges). This is to address a single narrative for the combined bid and the possible name for the nominated property; and
- preparing an integrated workplan covering both the Adelaide component and the associated rural settlement landscapes, based on the expert reviews.

Other tasks addressed separately are:

- planning for and leading a small expert workshop to explore the findings of the expert reviews, further refine the draft Outstanding Universal Value, and explore likely places for inclusion (without being conclusive at this stage). Importantly, the workshop would be an opportunity to help identify key potential experts to further assist with the nomination; and
- in-person meetings and other meetings by video-conferencing.

The consultant who prepared this report is s. 47F(1)

The consultant is grateful to the following people for their assistance.

s. 47F(1)	City of Adelaide
s. 47F(1)	City of Adelaide
s. 47F(1)	City of Adelaide
s. 47F(1)	Department for Environment and Water
s. 47F(1)	Adelaide Hills Council
s. 47F(1)	Adelaide Hills Council

Figure 2. View of the Southern Rural Settlement Landscape in the vicinity of Willunga
Source: [s. 47F\(1\)](#)



Released by DCCCEEW under the FOI Act 1982

2. CORE WORLD HERITAGE NARRATIVE AND VALUES FOR ADELAIDE AND THE RURAL SETTLEMENT LANDSCAPES

This chapter presents an overview of the proposed core narrative for a World Heritage nomination for Adelaide and its associated rural settlement landscapes. This is followed by brief background to this core narrative, and preliminary World Heritage value statements.

2.1 CORE NARRATIVE – OVERVIEW

The 1837 Adelaide town plan and associated rural settlement landscapes have substantial World Heritage potential. The suggested core narrative is as follows.

The nineteenth century property comprising Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes, including the early Adelaide plan, is of Outstanding Universal Value as exceptional evidence of the Wakefield systematic colonisation model, an important and influential model in the history of European free migration and colonial settlement. It is the most complete realisation of British colonial settlement planning in the world, and/or a major achievement of such colonial planning.

The colonial settlement also significantly impacted Aboriginal peoples, and a World Heritage nomination would address all aspects of the colonial history including recognition of such impacts.

2.2 CORE NARRATIVE – BACKGROUND

There are two key themes which underpin the core narrative. Brief background to these themes is provided below.

Evidence of the important and influential Wakefield Systematic Colonisation Model

Migration is a major and enduring theme through much of world history from ancient times to the present day. European emigration coupled with colonisation from about 1500 CE was itself of great historical importance marking the beginning of globalisation, and it arose as a result of the explorations in the Age of Discovery. From 1500-1783 CE there were 1.4 million migrants, and from 1815-1930 CE there were 60 million migrants. The Americas were the major destination, with 32 million people travelling to the USA in 1821-1932 CE. In the same period, Australia received 2.9 million migrants. These migrants could be either free or indentured (contracted).

The systematic colonisation of South Australia saw the arrival of tens of thousands of people in the initial period of the settlement scheme from 1836-1857 CE.


The migrations to other places prior to South Australia tended to involve a number of problems related to land acquisition, labour shortages and the consequent reliance on

unfree labour such as convicts or indentured labour. Previous Australian colonisation efforts were characterised by an unstructured approach. Wakefield's systematic colonisation was informed by Enlightenment ideals and was designed to avoid these problems and result in a superior colony based on free settlement, the sale of land at a sufficient price, funding to assist emigration, and the careful selection of migrants to create a viable colony.

The systematic colonisation of South Australia was an important departure from the unsystematic colonisation which characterised much of European free migration, especially that to the Americas. It was also influential on colonisation projects to other parts of the world.

Figure 3. Plan of Adelaide, 1840, showing original purchasers including those who purchased through the preliminary land order system

Source: <http://adelaide.sa.gov.au/sites/default/files/images/maps/b1831757.png>



The most complete realisation of British colonial settlement planning in the world, and/or a major achievement of such colonial planning


Research into the urban and rural aspects of colonial settlement planning of Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes concludes that:

- it includes the most complete realization/a grand example/a major achievement of the colonial town planning model. With parklands framing and encasing urban spaces, the city layout is designed to take full advantage of the topography, an important innovation for the time. Adelaide and the settlement of South Australia have all the essential elements of the 'grand model', an important 17th century utopian plan for colonial settlement, and it is distinguished by encircling parklands and internal public squares, preserved largely intact;

- most of the Adelaide plan's elements were not novel but their arrangement on the ground was an inspired response to site and opportunity, and represented the culmination of the whole colonial planning movement of the time;
- with a grid street pattern, systemic provision of town squares, and defining parkland, Adelaide combined numerous physical planning ideas and innovations of the colonial era;
- Adelaide was itself to be a prototype for the development of other 'parkland towns' in Australia and New Zealand;
- key features in the rural landscapes include concentrated settlement, land rights allocated in a combination of town and country lots, towns planned and laid out in advance of settlement and 80 acre farm units (sections); and
- the rural landscape also demonstrates the vital adaptation of the model to the real and local conditions of the recipient colony, including significant adaptation by immigrants of different cultural groups within the overall settlement enterprise.

Note that the following figure is intended to just give some impression of the early planning for rural landscapes associated with the settlement of South Australia. It is not a portrayal of the likely boundaries for or extent of a World Heritage nomination.

Figure 4. Plan of the District of Adelaide, 1839, showing original rural settlements close to the city
 Source: <https://digital.collections.slsa.sa.gov.au/nodes/view/821>



2.3 PRELIMINARY VALUE STATEMENTS AGAINST WORLD HERITAGE CRITERIA

To achieve World Heritage listing, Adelaide and the associated rural settlement landscapes would need to meet at least one World Heritage criterion. Current research suggests it could meet three criteria. Preliminary value statements for these criteria are provided below.

Criterion (ii) exhibit an important interchange of human values, over a span of time or within a cultural area of the world, on developments in architecture or technology, monumental arts, town-planning or landscape design

Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes is the outstanding example of the 19th century Wakefield systematic colonisation model for the creation of a viable settler community based on free migration and a progressive society. Within the context of the major European migrations from about 1500 CE to 1914 CE, it was a radical and influential departure from the prevailing Atlantic and forced migrations, and was the first example of systematic colonisation.

The property was the powerful model for the development of new colonial societies in several other countries from the 19th century. Based on an ideal model developed in the United Kingdom, the rural landscapes also demonstrate the vital adaptation of the model to the real and local conditions of the recipient colony, including significant adaptation by immigrants of different cultural groups within the overall settlement enterprise.

As the planned capital of the colonial society, expressing a key aspect of Systematic Colonisation, the Adelaide plan of 1837 reflects an important interchange given the influence of British colonial town planning in this period of major European colonisation. British colonial activities were a major global theme in the period from the late sixteenth century through to the mid twentieth century, and variously encompassed substantial territory in North America, Africa, India and Australia. Town planning was a key part of this colonisation and settlement, and model town plans evolved and guided British settlers. Adelaide's plan was strongly influenced by the evolved model, and it is the most complete realisation of the colonial town planning model.

In addition, the Adelaide plan was to influence the development of hundreds of smaller towns in South Australia, as well as colonial towns in New Zealand.

The Adelaide plan is also of significance in the history of town planning because of its influence on the founding ideas of the Garden City movement.

Criterion (iv) be an outstanding example of a type of building, architectural or technological ensemble or landscape which illustrates (a) significant stage(s) in human history

Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes is the outstanding example of the implementation of the 19th century Wakefield systematic colonisation model, which in part took advantage of the pre-existing Aboriginal managed landscape. Key features of the model included land sales synchronised to subsidise immigration of free settlers/labour for the colony, the recruitment of younger families as ideal settlers, concentrated settlement, 80 acre farm units (sections), and broader utopian principles such as religious freedom.

This model is reflected in the city and rural landscapes and marks an important change in the period of major European migrations and associated colonisation towards a progressive society and free migration to the far-distant lands of Australia. The property is the original and most enduring expression of systematic colonisation.

The Adelaide plan as part of systematic colonisation is also the outstanding example of the British colonial town planning model developed during the period of European colonialism. This was a major historical period which grew out of the Age of Discovery and saw European powers establish colonial settlements throughout many parts of the world. This period re-shaped world history and its consequences are still substantial and evident.

British colonialism was a very substantial part of this history from the late sixteenth century. Town planning was part of this colonisation and settlement, and model town plans evolved and guided British settlers. Adelaide's plan was strongly influenced by the evolved model, and it is the most complete realisation and a grand example of the colonial town planning model. It includes key features such as concentrated settlement, land rights allocated in a combination of town and country lots, the town planned and laid out in advance of settlement, wide streets laid out in geometric, grid-iron form, a settlement area of about one square mile, public squares, standard-sized rectangular plots, spacious in comparison with those in British towns of the time, some plots reserved for public purposes (including major public buildings reinforcing the plan), and a physical distinction between town and country by an encircling green belt of park lands.

Another important feature of the Adelaide plan is its distinctive response to the topography and natural qualities of its location, reflecting early environmental planning.

Criterion (vi) be directly or tangibly associated with events or living traditions, with ideas, or with beliefs, with artistic and literary works of outstanding universal significance. (The Committee considers that this criterion should preferably be used in conjunction with other criteria)

Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes is the supreme realisation of the transformational shift in European colonisation in the period from about 1500 CE to 1914 CE. The unprecedented colonisation strategy was based on a progressive political/economic model which evolved from the Enlightenment and championed free settlement and the abolition of slavery, assisted free migration, free markets, gender equality, the secret ballot, religious tolerance and Indigenous rights, in order to create a secular, self-governing, democratic and modern society. However, in practice these utopian ideals were in some cases not fully realised, and some proved a failure, especially in the case of Aboriginal rights.

The property and its associated ideas were highly influential in the creation of other new colonial societies in the period, and these ideas have become central to the concept of modern democratic societies.

The Adelaide plan is directly and tangibly associated with ideas arising from the Philosophical Radicals in Britain during the nineteenth century. With links to the Enlightenment which preceded it, the Philosophical Radicals advanced Jeremy Bentham's utilitarian philosophy which defined the maximisation of happiness as the moral standard for action. The Philosophical Radicals and their ideas were a prominent in Britain and also influential in other parts of the world.

The social and economic planning for the new colony in South Australia which derived from the Philosophical Radicals was coupled with rural as well as urban planning, and a range of features in the Adelaide plan are the tangible expression of their ideas, in particular the provision of open spaces/squares and parklands.

3. SUGGESTED NAME FOR THE NOMINATED PROPERTY

The name for the proposed property to be nominated for World Heritage listing is a small but highly important aspect of the overall project. The name needs to capture a sense of the nominated property, its identity and perhaps values.

A previous suggested name was,

Systematic Colonisation Settlement Landscapes of South Australia.

Variations could be,

Adelaide and the Systematic Colonisation Settlement Landscapes of South Australia

Adelaide and its Systematic Colonisation Settlement Landscapes

Colonial Adelaide and its Settlement Landscapes

Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes.

While an accurate descriptive term, the use of the term “colonisation” comes with negative connections for some, especially for Aboriginal people, and it is not conducive to this project being an opportunity for “healing” and telling all stories associated with Adelaide’s settlement history.

Another issue is that some options for the name are relatively long.

It may be worthwhile adopting a more neutral working name for the time being, with a view to reviewing options later in the process as further stakeholder consultation is undertaken. The suggested neutral working name is,

Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes.

4. INTEGRATED WORKPLAN FOR THE WORLD HERITAGE TENTATIVE LIST SUBMISSION AND NOMINATION

This chapter begins with some general comments about Tentative Listing and World Heritage nominations. This is followed by a summary of major research gaps for developing the Tentative List submission and nomination, and then a preliminary work plan for preparing a Tentative Listing and nomination. The chapter concludes with some additional comments about developing the Tentative List submission.

4.1 TENTATIVE LISTING AND NOMINATIONS

There are several initial points to recall in the development of a World Heritage nomination:

- such nominations are the largest and most complex tasks in the heritage sector, usually taking many years, requiring resourcing and persistence, and resulting in a substantial document of many hundreds of pages. There is a set format for the contents of a nomination provided in Annex 5 of the World Heritage *Operational Guidelines*;
- a carefully planned approach is essential, usually involving a team and a lead author, and supported by other experts, stakeholders and political leaders, often structured into groups or committees;
- developing a nomination is invariably an iterative process. For example, Outstanding Universal Value may be drafted, tested, revised, tested, informed by the comparative analysis, revised, informed by issues of integrity, authenticity, protection and management, revised, peer reviewed, and so on. This is normal and part of the strength of the process;
- while the task is large it is commensurate with the rewards of World Heritage listing;
- successful nominations are usually the result of a body of expert work coupled with a campaign to develop a broader international body of expert opinion in favour of the nomination – a critical mass of supportive expert opinion. There are various ways of encouraging such support, and the efforts of other countries provide a range of examples in achieving this;
- successful nominations are also the result of ensuring or developing support within governments and the local community; and
- in the case of the Adelaide plan, the support of the City of Adelaide is very important and complements the support of a range of local governments for the rural settlement landscapes.

The development of the nomination may be broadly divided into the following stages:

- initial research, which will underpin the later Tentative List and nomination stages;
- development of a Tentative List submission, to some extent also drawing on the initial tasks noted below;
- development of the nomination – initial tasks – refine draft Outstanding Universal Value, develop comparative analysis, refine attributes and identify boundaries;
- development of the nomination – later tasks – ongoing refinement of Outstanding Universal Value, comparative analysis, attributes and boundaries, and development of the remaining parts of the nomination; and

- other important tasks (eg. development of a management plan or system which will be vital to support the nomination).

The Tentative List is a formal part of the overall process for the development of the World Heritage List, especially related to nominations to the List. As noted in the *Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention* (UNESCO World Heritage Centre 2019):

A Tentative List is an inventory of those properties situated on its territory which each State Party considers suitable for nomination to the World Heritage List. States Parties should therefore include, in their Tentative Lists, details of those properties which they consider to be of potential Outstanding Universal Value and which they intend to nominate during the following years. (Paragraph 62)

Tentative Lists are a useful and important planning tool for States Parties, the World Heritage Committee, the Secretariat, and the Advisory Bodies, as they provide an indication of future nominations. (Paragraph 70)

Several important points to note are:

- Tentative List submissions are checked for completeness of the documentation, but are not evaluated in terms of the claimed Outstanding Universal Value;
- the submission is usually quite short, perhaps a few pages;
- Tentative List submissions are not meant to be based on a fully developed case for World Heritage listing. There needs to be reasonable expert grounds for believing a property may meet the requirements for World Heritage, but this does not mean absolute certainty is required. Such certainty, or at least greater certainty, only arises through the research to prepare the actual nomination dossier. State Parties have on occasions included properties on the Tentative List which have subsequently been found through such research not to have a good case for Outstanding Universal Value. This is exactly how the Tentative List should operate;
- a property needs to be on the Tentative List for one year before it can be nominated;
- Australia has, over decades, not been able to develop a meaningful Tentative List for many reasons. One of those reasons has been that successive governments have been reluctant to list properties unless the Commonwealth and relevant State or Territory governments were in full agreement. While this is largely a political issue, there is also a technical dimension as the support of Commonwealth, State or Territory heritage authorities is also a factor. This has also sometimes meant that Tentative Listing has only occurred when there has been a full commitment to proceed with a nomination;
- it is noted that other States are also likely to have Tentative List proposals (eg. Victoria with regard to the Goldfields). Tentative Listing is not a competition. State Parties can submit any number of properties and there is no limit. The only limit applies to nominations that can be submitted in any given year – which is one nomination. But recognising that it takes considerable time to develop a nomination, there is actually likely to be no competition between ‘rival’ Australian nominations;
- the development of a Tentative List submission is a much smaller and simpler technical/expert task compared to a nomination. Nonetheless, it requires careful consideration and a good expert foundation; and
- one challenging task may be achieving Commonwealth and State support in terms of politicians and heritage experts within government.

In the case of the Tentative List submission, the connection between this step and National Heritage listing should also be confirmed. In the case of Adelaide, the Park Lands and

City Layout are already on the National Heritage List, although it is suspected the whole of the city within the Park Lands will need to be the basis of a World Heritage nomination. If the latter basis is accepted, then the link between Tentative Listing and National Heritage should probably be confirmed. Previously the policy has been to require National Heritage listing before inclusion on the Tentative List, although more recent developments suggest this is not strictly always the case.

Based on the experience of other nominations of urban areas for World Heritage, a nomination including Adelaide should be for the whole of the urban form, such as related to the 1837 plan, as realised. But this does not necessarily mean that every building in the city, even very modern buildings, need be regarded as an attribute of Outstanding Universal Value. The focus is likely to be on aspects of the pre-colonial landscape as well as colonial era planning, forms and fabric. The boundaries of the rural settlement landscapes to be included are yet to be determined.

4.2 SUMMARY OF RESEARCH GAPS

The following is a summary of the major research gaps for developing the Tentative List submission and nomination. These tend to focus on gaps which relate to the justification of Outstanding Universal Value, and there are no doubt other gaps to be addressed later, once the justification is reasonably robust.

These research gaps relate largely to the Adelaide plan and less to the rural settlement landscapes, and there are overlaps as well.

The gaps are:

- developing an adequate understanding of the impacts of settlement on Aboriginal peoples relevant to underpinning the overall project, noting there is existing research which may partly or largely address this need;
- developing a thematic study of European colonial settlement models;
- exploring whether systematic colonisation might otherwise be expressed as part of, or a major element within, a British colonial settlement model or models;
- confirming that the systematic colonisation model incorporated the prevailing, evolved colonial town planning model, including integral elements, such as the linked town and rural landholdings, as well as the concentrated town settlement physically separated from rural lands by park lands;
- preparing a thematic study of European colonial planned towns, including some comparative analysis (King (1990, pp. 141-2) contains a useful list of British colonies and major cities);
- developing a better understanding of the colonial town planning model in terms of its history and the essential elements of that model or models (eg. is it just the town planning components of the Grand Model?);
- confirming that the town planning components of the Grand Model are the same as or at least connected to the model plan promoted by the British Board of Trade;
- documenting the historical and surviving qualities of other examples of colonial town planning, potentially including Savannah, Philadelphia, Charleston and new towns in Upper Canada, perhaps linked to the thematic study noted above;
- documenting the integrity of comparable and key examples of colonial town planning, perhaps linked to the thematic study noted above;

- developing a conclusive understanding of the influence of the Adelaide plan on the Garden City movement and the associated significance;
- establishing whether the Adelaide plan was influenced by the Spanish town planning instructions codified in the 1570s;
- developing a better understanding of the links between town blocks and rural land purchases in the case of Adelaide, ie. specific and intact examples;
- clearly identifying the standard or common and novel elements of the Adelaide plan;
- seeking to better understand the designed purpose of the Park Lands;
- researching whether other aspects of urban planning, apart from the open spaces/squares and parklands, can be identified as evidence of the ideas of the Philosophical Radicals; and
- researching the international influence of the Adelaide plan, including numerous New Zealand examples (suggestions include Wellington, Christchurch, Dunedin, Invercargill, New Plymouth, Nelson, Canterbury region, Wanganui, Hamilton, Alexandra, Clyde, Cromwell, Gore and Port Chalmers) as well as any influence in other countries. While some of this influence is discussed in the expert review of the City of Adelaide (Marshall and Lennon 2020), the focus is on the settlement landscapes rather than colonial town plans.

These gaps are addressed in the following preliminary workplan.

Developing the Tentative List submission and nomination will require information on a range of contexts, such as the several thematic studies noted above, and other matters noted in the preliminary workplan below. At this stage, these various contexts seem important to explore as part of developing the justification of Outstanding Universal Value. However, it is worth noting the research may lead to some contexts being more important than others, or refinement of the relevant context/s, all as part of the process to develop a robust justification of Outstanding Universal Value.

Finally, while not part of the work required for a Tentative List submission or a nomination, it may nonetheless be important to simply document a few relevant case studies of other World Heritage cities and landscapes which contain both attributes of Outstanding Universal Value, and non-attributes. This would be to re-assure stakeholders that a World Heritage inscription of the whole of the City of Adelaide and associated rural landscapes will not impose unreasonable constraints on modern existing or future developments.

4.3 PRELIMINARY WORKPLAN

Table 1 below presents an overall preliminary workplan for the stages noted above. Initial tasks are highlighted in green. To some extent, there is some potential or real overlap between the Tentative List tasks and the nomination tasks. Hopefully, at least some tasks may be able to build upon previous work undertaken. Further development of the workplan would include an assessment of previous work and its usefulness for the next phase of the project. No timings for tasks are indicated at this stage, with one exception.

Table 1. Preliminary World Heritage Nomination Workplan			
No.	Task	Comments	Timing
Initial Research and Tasks			
	Possibly provide a project status briefing webinar	Given delays due to the pandemic, this possible briefing is intended to inform key experts and stakeholders about the expert reviews and project status, as a lead-in to the expert workshop below.	December 2021 or January 2022
	Undertake an initial Expert Workshop	To: - review the findings of the expert reviews undertaken for the Mount Lofty Ranges and Adelaide, including further refinement of the draft Outstanding Universal Value - explore likely places for inclusion - to help identify experts to assist with further stages of the overall project	February 2022
	Establish a Governance structure	Establish an initial governance structure for the overall project, noting this structure and membership may evolve through time. This structure might include: - oversight committee (ministerial/ mayoral level) - project management group - stakeholder group - expert reference group - lead expert - core team The first part of this structure, the project management group, should be established prior to the expert workshop.	December 2021
	Undertake a thematic study of European colonial settlement models	Including: - exploring whether systematic colonisation might otherwise be expressed as part of, or a major element within, a British colonial settlement model or models - confirming that the systematic colonisation model incorporated the prevailing, evolved colonial town planning model, including integral elements, such as the linked town and rural landholdings, as well as the concentrated town settlement physically separated from rural lands by park lands	
	Undertake a thematic study of European colonial planned towns, including some comparative analysis	Including: - developing a better understanding of the colonial town planning model in terms of its history and the essential elements of that model or models (eg. is it just the town planning components of the Grand Model?) - confirming that the town planning components of the Grand Model are	

Table 1. Preliminary World Heritage Nomination Workplan			
No.	Task	Comments	Timing
		the same as the model plan promoted by the Board of Trade - documenting the historical and surviving qualities of other examples of colonial town planning, potentially including Savannah, Philadelphia, Charleston and new towns in Upper Canada - documenting the integrity of comparable examples of colonial town planning	
	Undertake further research on specific issues related to Adelaide and the rural landscape	Research to include: - developing a conclusive understanding of the influence of the Adelaide plan on the Garden City movement and the associated significance - establishing whether the Adelaide plan was influenced by the Spanish town planning instructions codified in the 1570s - developing a better understanding of the links between town blocks and rural land purchases in the case of Adelaide, ie. specific intact examples - clearly identifying the standard or common and novel elements of the Adelaide plan - seeking to better understand the designed purpose of the Park Lands - researching whether other aspects of urban planning, apart from the open spaces/squares and parklands, can be identified as evidence of the ideas of the Philosophical Radicals - researching the international influence of the Adelaide plan, including numerous New Zealand examples (suggestions include Wellington, Christchurch, Dunedin, Invercargill, New Plymouth, Nelson, Canterbury, Wanganui, Hamilton, Alexandra, Clyde, Cromwell, Gore and Port Chalmers) as well as any influence in other countries	
	Develop initial information about the management implications of World Heritage Listing	This information will help stakeholders understand the implications of listing, focusing on likely practical matters. This information will be helpful for stakeholder and community engagement. The information should be updated as the understanding of the values and boundaries of the property are refined.	

Table 1. Preliminary World Heritage Nomination Workplan			
No.	Task	Comments	Timing
	Prepare case studies of other World Heritage cities and landscapes which contain both attributes of Outstanding Universal Value, and non-attributes	This would include documentation of a few relevant cases. This information would be to re-assure stakeholders that a World Heritage inscription of the whole of the City of Adelaide and associated rural landscapes will not impose unreasonable constraints on modern existing or future developments.	
Tentative List Submission			
	Link the clarification of connection between National Heritage listing and inclusion on the Tentative List, and other matters	At present it appears there is some flexibility in the policy linking National Heritage and World Heritage. This flexibility should be confirmed in the case of the proposed property, noting that part of the proposed property (Adelaide Park Lands and City Layout) is already National Heritage listed. Given the creation of the colony on Aboriginal lands, continuing connections to country, and the possible inclusion of Aboriginal managed lands within the property, the requirements of the Commonwealth regarding demonstrating Aboriginal consent should also be clarified.	
	Prepare draft Tentative List submission (number of drafts TBA)	Initial task • The submission is quite short, and will draw on the initial research above and the tasks below related to the development of the nomination itself • Identify lead person for the submission and core team • Develop more detailed workplan for the submission • Review of current or possible additional committees or reference groups to support the submission • Strengthen the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value as much as possible, noting this task will continue through the development of the nomination dossier • Refine the understanding of attributes • Give some indication of possible draft boundaries, noting these are subject to further refinement • Develop initial information about likely management implications	
	Seek expert review/s on draft Tentative List	Initial task • Related to the expert reviews for the nomination itself, but with a more limited scope	

Table 1. Preliminary World Heritage Nomination Workplan			
No.	Task	Comments	Timing
		Identify experts to support the submission development through reviewing drafts (suggestions might include the International Planning History Society, Global Urban History Project, International Garden Cities Institute and possibly the International Federation of Surveyors)	
	Develop and implement an Engagement Strategy (Governments/community/stakeholder engagement)	Initial task - Develop an Engagement Strategy to promote understanding and encourage government/community/stakeholder support, including Aboriginal stakeholders and government heritage authorities - Implement the Engagement Strategy	
	Seek Government agencies' review/s of draft Tentative List submission/s	Initial task - Seek review/s of draft Tentative List submission/s by: - related local government Councils including the City of Adelaide and rural councils (eg. Adelaide Hills Council etc) - SA Heritage Council - SA Department for Environment and Water - Australian Heritage Council - Commonwealth Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment	
	Seek State government support/agreement for the Tentative List submission	Initial task - Build upon earlier engagement with State government agencies as part of other tasks - This is the decision point for formal State government support to take the proposal to the Environment Ministers Meeting, which is the forum to be consulted prior to the Commonwealth lodging the submission with the World Heritage Centre	
	Seek the Environment Ministers Meeting agreement to the Tentative List submission	Initial task - The SA Government would provide this as an agenda item for a meeting organised by the Commonwealth. - The agreement would simply be a decision taken by the Ministers.	
	Seek final government review of the draft Tentative List submission	Initial task	
	Prepare final Tentative List submission	Initial task	

Table 1. Preliminary World Heritage Nomination Workplan			
No.	Task	Comments	Timing
	Despatch of the Tentative List submission to the World Heritage Centre (WHC) by the Commonwealth	Initial task	
Nomination – General Tasks			
	Develop and update the nomination workplan	Initial task This workplan is a first draft version, and it would be updated as necessary during the life of the overall project	
	Overall project coordination	Ongoing task - Identify lead person for nomination project and core team, to lead and coordinate the overall project - Should include a review of current or possible additional committees or steering/reference groups to support the nomination	
	Develop and implement a Communication Strategy (community/stakeholder consultation)	Initial task - Develop a communication strategy (this might include an evolving short nomination summary and other fact sheets to address issues, amongst other products) - Implement the strategy	
	Draft Nomination (number of drafts TBA)	Later task	
	Seek expert review/s on the Draft Nomination	Ongoing task - Maybe worth establishing a panel of reviewers whose support will be needed periodically, including World Heritage and subject matter experts – not clear if this group will meet or work separately - Includes international peer review, which might partly be addressed through an international workshop - Develop an indicative schedule for expert reviews	
	Seek Government agencies' review/s on the Draft Nomination	Later task	
	Print Draft Nomination	Later task	
	Send Draft Nomination to WHC	Later task	
	WHC to review Draft Nomination	Later task	
	Final government review of Draft Nomination	Later task	
	Finalise Nomination	Later task	
	Nomination production	Later task	
	Despatch Nomination to WHC by the Commonwealth	Later task	
Nomination – Components of the Dossier			
1.	Identification of the Property		

Table 1. Preliminary World Heritage Nomination Workplan			
No.	Task	Comments	Timing
1.a	Country (and State Party if different)	Later task	
1.b	State, Province or Region	Later task	
1.c	Name of Property	Initial task Important for the branding/ recognition/publicity of the property, even if it may change later	
1.d	Geographical coordinates to the nearest second	Later task	
1.e	Maps and plans, showing the boundaries of the nominated property and buffer zone	Initial task - Source good quality topographical and cadastral base mapping - Generate base mapping of relevant attributes - Begin mapping of possible boundaries based on identified attributes - Refine as attributes are refined and other issues considered (integrity, authenticity, protection, management)	
1.f	Area of nominated property (ha.) and proposed buffer zone (ha.) Area of nominated property: _ ha Buffer zone _____ ha Total _____ ha	Later task	
2.	Description		
2.a	Description of Property	Later task	
2.b	History and Development	Later task	
3.	Justification for Inscription		
3.1.a	Brief synthesis	Initial task	
3.1.b	Further research and finalise selection of criteria under which inscription is proposed (and develop justification text for inscription under these criteria)	Initial task - Development of the justification text to support/refine the criteria text - Develop text on the broader theme of migration, into which the South Australian example and systematic colonisation can be contextualised - Prepare summary text on the theme of European colonial settlement models, and the place of the Wakefield model within this context - Develop background text on the character of non-systematic colonisation, with examples - Develop background text on the Enlightenment/post-Enlightenment, and the impact on the development of model societies - Develop an understanding of the role of the city of Adelaide (eg. the town	

Table 1. Preliminary World Heritage Nomination Workplan			
No.	Task	Comments	Timing
		acres and more broadly) in the systematic colonisation story • Refine the description of the characteristics of systematic colonisation, including the size and variability of sections (farm units) • Ensure the presentation of systematic colonisation reflects both the idealised theory as well as the reality of its implementation, including a fair reading of positive and negative aspects • Develop an overview of the authoritative historical assessments of systematic colonisation, including whether there is a prevailing consensus about its importance • Prepare summary text on European colonial planned towns, and the place of British towns and Adelaide within this context • Otherwise draw on the initial research to inform the justification text • Revise the draft OUV in the light of the comparative analysis	
3.1.c	Develop a Statement of Integrity	Initial task • Integrity is a measure of the completeness or intactness of the attributes that convey Outstanding Universal Value (eg. are all attributes in the property, and also are they impacted by development or neglect?) • Ongoing identification and refinement of attributes • Establish integrity of attributes and overall property	
3.1.d	Develop a Statement of Authenticity (for nominations made under criteria (i) to (vi))	Initial task • Establish authenticity of attributes and overall property	
3.1.e	Develop text on protection and management requirements	Later task	
3.2	Prepare a Comparative Analysis	Initial task • Develop the analysis, especially regarding other examples of systematic colonisation in the world and what landscape evidence exists of these, as well as European colonial planned towns • Also develop the analysis related to agricultural innovation • A close/closer check of Tentative Lists should be included	

Table 1. Preliminary World Heritage Nomination Workplan			
No.	Task	Comments	Timing
3.3	Develop the Proposed Statement of Outstanding Universal Value	Initial task Based on inputs from other tasks	
4.	State of Conservation and factors affecting the Property		
4.a	Prepare text on the present state of conservation	Later task	
4.b	Prepare text on factors affecting the property	Later task	
4.b(i)	Prepare text on development Pressures (e.g., encroachment, adaptation, agriculture, mining)	Later task	
4.b(ii)	Prepare text on environmental pressures (e.g., pollution, climate change, desertification)	Later task	
4.b(iii)	Prepare text on natural disasters and risk preparedness (earthquakes, floods, fires, etc.)	Later task	
4.b(iv)	Prepare text on responsible visitation at World Heritage sites	Later task	
4.b(v)	Number of inhabitants within the property and the buffer zone Estimated population located within: Area of nominated property Buffer zone Total Year	Later task	
5.	Protection and Management of the Property		
5.a	Ownership	Later task	
5.b	Protective designation	Later task	
5.c	Means of implementing protective measures.	Later task	
5.d	Existing plans related to municipality and region in which the proposed property is located (e.g., regional or local plan, conservation plan, tourism development plan)	Later task	
5.e	Property management plan or other management system	Later task	
5.f	Sources and levels of finance	Later task	
5.g	Sources of expertise and training in conservation and management techniques	Later task	
5.h	Visitor facilities and infrastructure	Later task	

Table 1. Preliminary World Heritage Nomination Workplan			
No.	Task	Comments	Timing
5.i	Policies and programmes related to the presentation and promotion of the property	Later task	
5.j	Staffing levels and expertise (professional, technical, maintenance)	Later task	
6.	Monitoring		
6.a	Key indicators for measuring state of conservation	Later task	
6.b	Administrative arrangements for monitoring property	Later task	
6.c	Results of previous reporting exercises	Later task	
7.	Documentation		
7.a	Photographs and audiovisual image inventory and authorization form	Later task	
7.b	Texts relating to protective designation, copies of property management plans or documented management systems and extracts of other plans relevant to the property	Later task	
7.c	Form and date of most recent records or inventory of property	Later task	
7.d	Address where inventory, records and archives are held	Later task	
7.e	Bibliography	Ongoing task	
8.	Contact Information of responsible authorities		
8.a	Preparer Name: Title: Address: City, Province/State, Country: Tel: Fax: E-mail:	Later task	
8.b	Official Local Institution/Agency	Later task	
8.c	Other Local Institutions	Later task	
8.d	Official Web address http:// Contact name: E-mail:	Later task	
9.	Signature on behalf of the State Party	Later task	

Table 1. Preliminary World Heritage Nomination Workplan			
No.	Task	Comments	Timing
Other Tasks			
	Development of management plan/system	Later task	
	Development of tourism management plan, including interpretation	Later task	

4.4 ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ABOUT DEVELOPING THE TENTATIVE LIST SUBMISSION

While it might be possible to proceed with developing a Tentative List submission based on current information, it would seem prudent to firstly undertake the initial research identified in the preliminary workplan above. This will provide a more robust basis for the submission, and the eventual nomination. It will also help persuade the Commonwealth of the merits of the case.

This would also allow time to begin engagement with stakeholders and the local community about the possibilities of World Heritage, especially if more than just the City Layout and Park Lands are likely to be included within the City of Adelaide, which is recommended. This is also relevant for the associated rural landscapes. The key issue may be the management implications if World Heritage inscription is achieved, and early work can be undertaken to begin the development of an understanding of those implications, for use in consultations.

It should be noted the Tentative List submission does not include or require boundary information. Such information is only provided with the nomination. Nonetheless, it is likely there will be keen interest from stakeholders and the local community in the possible/likely boundary and developing a draft boundary should probably be an early priority.

Accordingly, the initial research and work undertaken for the Tentative List submission should usefully:

- strengthen the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value as much as possible, noting this task will continue through the development of the nomination dossier;
- refine the understanding of attributes;
- give some indication of possible draft boundaries, noting these are subject to further refinement; and
- develop initial information about likely management implications.

The tasks on OUV and attributes will be inputs to the submission, and the boundary and management implications information will be helpful for stakeholders including governments, their heritage authorities and the local community.

In order to address the issues noted regarding governments, community and stakeholders, including government heritage authorities, it seems worthwhile also developing a strategy to promote understanding and encourage support for the submission.

10. REFERENCES

King, A D 1990, *Urbanism, colonialism and the world-economy: cultural and spatial foundations of the world urban system*, Routledge.

s. 47F(1) Independent expert review of the Mount Lofty Ranges World Heritage Bid, prepared for the Adelaide Hills Council.

s. 47F(1) Review and assessment of the status and feasibility of the City of Adelaide's World Heritage bid for the Park Lands and City Layout, prepared for the City of Adelaide.

UNESCO 2011, *Preparing World Heritage Nominations*, World Heritage resource manual, second edition.

UNESCO World Heritage Centre 2019, *Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention*.

AGENDA

Inter-Agency Oversight Committee (OC) for Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes Joint World Heritage Bid

Location: City of Adelaide, Colonel Light Room

Date: Tuesday 1 August 2023

Time: 10.30am - 12.00pm

Present: Members: s. 47F(1) (City of Adelaide, Chair), s. 47F(1) (Barossa), s. 47F(1) (Adelaide Hills), s. 47F(1) (DEW), s. 47F(1) (DEW), s. 47F(1), s. 47F(1), s. 47F(1) (City of Adelaide), s. 47F(1) (Onkaparinga), s. 47F(1) (City of Adelaide), s. 47F(1) (City of Adelaide), s. 47F(1) (Onkaparinga), s. 47F(1) (City of Adelaide), s. 47F(1) (Barossa)

Apologies: s. 47F(1) (Onkaparinga), s. 47F(1) (Mt Barker), s. 47F(1) (Barossa)

Acknowledgement:

The City of Adelaide acknowledges that we are located on the traditional Country of the Kaurna people of the Adelaide Plains and pays respect to Elders past, present and emerging.

We recognise and respect their cultural heritage, beliefs and relationship with the land. We also extend that respect to visitors of other Aboriginal Language Groups and other First Nations.

AGENDA		Action by
1.0	Welcome/Acknowledgements	
1.1	Acknowledgement of Country	Chair
1.2	Welcome (5mins)	Chair
2.0	Updates/Briefings	
2.1	<i>Briefing - Introduction of Adelaide and Rural Settlement Landscapes World Heritage Bid by World Heritage Experts, s. 47F(1) 30mins)</i> 2.1.1 s. 47F(1) / s. 47F(1) Presentation <u>Attachments:</u> - Adelaide and Rural Settlement Landscapes World Heritage Report by s. 47F(1) (December 2021) - Draft First Nations work brief by s. 47F(1)	s. 47F(1)
2.2	<i>Briefing - Learnings from the Flinders Ranges World Heritage Bid by Department for Environment and Water (30mins)</i> 2.2.1 DEW Presentation	s. 47F(1) / s. 47F(1)

2.3	<i>Briefing – Adelaide and Rural Settlement Landscapes World Heritage Bid Governance Structure and Project Management Group Work Plan (10mins)</i> 2.3.1 CoA Presentation - Objectives of World Heritage Bid - Letter of Support from Minister Susan Close - Inter-Agency Governance Structure <u>Attachments:</u> - Letter of Support from Minister Susan close dated 23 Jan 2023	City of Adelaide
2.4	Opportunity for questions from Oversight Committee	All
3.0	Items for Discussion/Decision	
3.1	Governance Structure 3.1.1 Adopt the draft Terms of Reference for the Inter-Agency Oversight Committee 3.1.2 Suggest further members to be included in Inter-Agency Oversight Committee for PMG to invite on behalf of OC 3.1.2 Note the existing High-level Governance Roadmap 3.1.3 Support the development of the Governance Structure as detailed in the Draft Governance Overview 3.1.4 Note forward program of meetings <u>Attachments:</u> - Draft Governance Roadmap - Draft Governance Overview - Inter-Agency Oversight Committee draft Terms of Reference - Forward Program of meetings for groups in Governance Structure	All
3.2	Inter- Agency Project Management Group (PMG) Work Plan 3.2.1 Approve further development of a work plan by PMG for decision out of Session prior to next meeting 3.2.2 Note minutes of 3 July 2023 PMG meeting <u>Attachments:</u> - Draft minutes of 3 July 2023 Inter-Agency Project Management Group meeting	All
4.0	Next Steps	
4.1	4.1.1 Note date of next meeting in November – Date TBA 4.1.2 Provide details of proxy to attend OC meetings if CE unavailable to attend.	All

OFFICIAL

**Government
of South Australia**

Reference: CEW22/01265

The Hon Susan Close MP
Deputy Premier
Minister for Climate, Environment and Water
Minister for Industry, Innovation and Science
Minister for Defence and Space Industries
Level 13, State Administration Centre
200 Victoria Square, Adelaide SA 5000
Tel (08) 8226 8520
Email: OfficeoftheDeputyPremier@sa.gov.au

Lord Mayor and Mayor Adelaide Hills Council
City of Adelaide
Town Hall
128 King William Street
ADELAIDE SA 5000
Email: lordmayor@cityofadelaide.com.au

Dear Lord Mayor and Mayor Wisdom,

On the 2 August 2022 I received a letter from Mayor Wisdom and former Lord Mayor Verschoor regarding the proposal to nominate for World Heritage Listing: the Adelaide Park Lands and city layout, and rural settlement areas of the Mount Lofty Ranges. I apologise for the delay in responding.

As you are aware, the State Government supports investigating a bid for Adelaide and its surrounds to be recognised with World Heritage status.

I have noted the reports from respected World Heritage experts, which conclude that there is a valid basis for pursuing the nomination. I also understand that while there are further avenues for research to validate a compelling proposition, there is consensus among experts engaged so far that a valid case for World Heritage can be explored.

As you appreciate, a World Heritage nomination is a technically rigorous process and requires extensive comparative analysis, community and landowner support, and free, prior and informed consent of First Nations. The first formal step in this process, developing a Tentative Listing document, is itself a rigorous document that must identify the proposed Outstanding Universal Value, likely spatial areas to be nominated, First Nations support, and management considerations, including relationship with planning regimes and existing heritage protections. Only the Australian Government Minister for the Environment and Water, with the support of the State Government, can approve a Tentative Listing.

I support the local government partners developing a Tentative Listing submission for the proposed nomination, and in consultation with the Department for Environment and Water, engaging with the Australian Government to produce a Tentative Listing submission that can be submitted with confidence to the World Heritage Centre.

Released by DCCFEW under the FOI Act 1982

Given the matters that need to be addressed in developing the Tentative Listing submission, it would be reasonable to expect that a draft submission is ready for approval within the next two years.

I understand that this process will be primarily resourced by the local government partners with in-kind technical advice to be provided by the state government through new project governance arrangements.

The Tentative Listing submission itself will require approval from both the state government and Australian government Minister before it can be submitted. Subsequent support to develop a World Heritage nomination would be contingent on a Tentative Listing being accepted by the World Heritage Centre.

For further information, please contact s. 47F(1), A/Director National Parks Programs on s. 47F(1) or by email s. 47F(1) [i@sa.gov.au](mailto:s.47F(1)@sa.gov.au).

Thank you for writing to me and I hope this information is of assistance. I would also like to congratulate you both on your re-election and election to the positions of Mayor and Lord Mayor.

Yours sincerely



Hon Susan Close MP
Deputy Premier
Minister for Climate, Environment and Water

23/1 / 2023

Adelaide and Rural Settlement Landscapes World Heritage Bid

Inter-Agency Oversight Committee Terms of Reference

Released by DCCFEW under the FOI Act 1982

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. TERMS OF REFERENCE	3
1.1 Background	3
1.2 Purpose.....	3
1.3 Role of the OC members.....	3
1.4 Roles of individual OC members	4
1.5 Membership	5
1.6 Chair	5
1.7 Frequency of meetings.....	5
1.8 Agenda, meeting minutes and action items	5
1.9 Quorum and proxies.....	5
1.10 Dispute resolution and reporting structure	6
1.11 Confidentiality	6
1.12 Conflicts of interest.....	6
1.13 Organisation.....	6

Document Revision History

Version No.	Revision Changes	Changed By	Date
0.1	Document Created	s. 47F(1)	28.06.2023
0.2	Minor edits – tracked changes	s. 47F(1)	30.06.2023

1. Terms of Reference

1.1 Background

The Inter-Agency Oversight Committee has been established to consider all matters relating to the planning and governance activities for **Adelaide and Rural Settlement Landscapes World Heritage Bid (ARSL)**.

The specific objectives of the ARSL are:

- Form a resilient multi-agency approach to the World Heritage Bid and its future management that incorporates advice of community, experts and stakeholders.
- Undertake work that ultimately results in World Heritage listing of the areas identified as contributing to the significance and heritage values of colonial patterns of settlement
- With a view to further reconciliation, meaningfully and respectfully engage with First Nations peoples for truth-telling about the impacts of colonial patterns of settlement in South Australia, and protection of elements of cultural significance.
- Promote South Australia's potential World Heritage values, with particular focus on Commerce and Tourism opportunities and stakeholders.
- Provide a framework for protection of proposed World Heritage places, components and landscapes.

1.2 Purpose

The purpose of the Inter-Agency Oversight Committee (**OC**) is to provide direction and oversight activities the Inter-Agency Project Management Group (**PMG**) with regard to this project's scope, objectives, timelines, costs and other key attributes. The OC will be called upon when significant project decisions are required.

1.3 Role of the OC members

The OC is responsible for the project's feasibility, achievement of outcomes and internal deliverables, the role includes:

- Providing leadership and maintaining a project overview
- Awareness of project communication and engagement activities

- Monitoring the project progress and expenditure
- Awareness of project risks, mitigations and management approaches which have major implications to project success
- Ensuring the project's scope aligns with the requirements of the ARSL
- Reconciling differences across organisations and resolving disputes to support continuous project progress
- Providing guidance to the PMG.

1.4 Roles of individual OC members

The role of the individual member of the OC includes:

- Understanding the implications and outcomes of initiatives being pursued
- Appreciating the significance of the project for stakeholders and represent their interests
- Being genuinely interested in the initiative and the outcomes being pursued in the project
- Being an advocate for the project's outcomes
- Having a broad understanding of project management issues and the approach being adopted
- Being committed to, and actively involved in pursuing the project vision.

In practice, this means the OC will:

- Ensure the requirements of stakeholders are met by the project's outputs
- Assist in balancing conflicting priorities and resources
- Provide guidance to the PMG and users of the project's outputs
- Consider ideas and issues raised
- Review the progress of the project
- Check adherence of project activities to standards of best practice, both within the organisation and in a wider context
- Attend meetings, pre-read meeting papers, and seek clarification on Oversight Committee matters prior to the meeting if required
- Forward an apology to the Chair if unable to attend the scheduled meeting and/or notify of proxy representative
- Act on agreed OC action items.

1.5 Membership

Membership of the committee will consist of:

- CEO, City of Adelaide (Chair)
- CEO, Adelaide Hills Council
- CEO, Barossa Council
- CEO, City of Onkaparinga
- CEO, Mount Barker District Council
- CEO, Department for Environment and Water (proxy - Jason Irving)
- First Nations representative(s) - TBD

Notes:

- Members may delegate a proxy to represent their organisation which must be advised prior to the date of any meeting.
- The Oversight Committee reserves the right to invite and appoint by quorum additional members to represent aligned organisations.
- Members are appointed for the duration of the Project.

1.6 Chair

The Chair will chair the meetings. If the Chair is not available, then the Chair will appoint an Acting Chair who will be responsible for convening and conducting that meeting. The Acting Chair is responsible for informing the Chair as to the salient points/decisions raised or agreed to at that meeting.

1.7 Frequency of meetings

The OC will meet at least 4 times each calendar year (or quarterly).

By agreement of the Committee, out-of-session decisions will be deemed acceptable.

Where agreed, all out-of-session decisions shall be recorded in the minutes of the next scheduled Oversight Committee meeting.

1.8 Agenda, meeting minutes and action items

The PMG or a representative will organise the agenda and distribute meeting papers.

Following each Committee meeting, a meeting summary of the minutes and any action items will be prepared by the PMG or representative.

1.9 Quorum and proxies

On acceptance of the minutes the recommendations or resolutions are valid.

1.10 Dispute resolution and reporting structure

Project issues for consideration and decision will be communicated to the Oversight Committee by the Chair.

1.11 Confidentiality

All Committee members must keep all information received by them in relation to their roles as members strictly confidential unless:


- Disclosure is permitted under these Terms of Reference
- The OC has approved disclosure.

1.12 Conflicts of interest

As per the *Code of Conduct* Committee members have a responsibility to declare any actual or potential conflict of interest relating to business of the Committee.

1.13 Organisation

Outlined below is a Governance Organisation model for the project:



MINUTES (draft)**Inter-Agency Project Management Group for Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes Joint World Heritage Bid****Location:** City of Adelaide Council Offices & Online**Date:** Monday 3 July 2023**Time:** 10.00am - 11.30am**Present:** s. 47F(1), s. 47F(1) (chair), s. 47F(1), s. 47F(1), s. 47F(1), s. 47F(1), s. 47F(1), s. 47F(1)**Apologies:** s. 47F(1), s. 47F(1), s. 47F(1)**Acknowledgement:**

The City of Adelaide acknowledges that we are located on the traditional Country of the Kurna people of the Adelaide Plains and pays respect to Elders past, present and emerging.

We recognise and respect their cultural heritage, beliefs and relationship with the land. We also extend that respect to visitors of other Aboriginal Language Groups and other First Nations.

AGENDA		Action by	Minutes
1.1	Adopt minutes of previous meeting Attachment: Minutes of ARSL PMG meeting 29 May 2023	All	Minutes of previous meeting adopted with no changes.
2.0	Updates – since 5 June 2023 meeting		
2.1	ICOMOS GA2020/2023 Conference ➤ s. 47F(1) to provide update ➤ Note ICOMOS GA2020/2023 Pre-Tour cancellation	DBD	Work on draft has commenced with regular meetings of author group. Draft expected to be ready by end of July. PMG noted ICOMOS pre-tour cancellation
2.2	National Heritage Management Plan for Adelaide Park Lands and City Layout ➤ s. 47F(1) to provide update.	AMc	NHMP progressing to finalisation with some changes to the final chapters being undertaken. Expected to go to Kadaltilla August meeting currently for completion by end of September. Some additional work is being undertaken concurrently (procured by DCCEEW) to investigate


			cumulative impacts to the Park Lands and provide guidelines that ultimately will hopefully align with the NHMP to become the basis of a Conservation Agreement for protections across DCCEEW, State Gov agencies and CoA. CoA will undertake internal and external stakeholder engagement to educate on the NHMP and its policies/actions once completed. Action: CoA to write to Chair of State Planning Commission and ODASA to support the implementation of the NHMP.
2.3	Engagement with Elected Members ➤ Update from each Council as to progress of internal communications and Council commitments going forward ➤ Template for internal briefings provided by CoA Attachment: Template memo for internal briefings	All	General update from PMG. CoA noted the letters sent from the CoA CE to bid-partner CEs. PMG noted that many Councils have newly incoming CEs that will need to be briefed going forward. s. 47F(1) introduced themselves to project team. Noted his role is around progressing Flinders Ranges bid and may have some ongoing role in the ARSL tbd. Action: (ALL) continue internal discussions to progress ARSL. Support can be sought from CoA to assist with briefings.
2.4	Reconciliation/First Nations Engagement ➤ Advise on progress of meetings with Reconciliation Officers and internal First Nations communications. ➤ Brief for First Nations Consultant has been developed to incorporate guidance around FPIC Attachment: First Nations Consultant Brief	All	PMG noted reconciliation officers meeting has not yet happened. Action: (ALL) meeting of reconciliation officers to be organised. CoA advised of meeting with s. 47F(1) of Reconciliation SA, who noted the Four Nations Governance in NRM. The document was particularly helpful in showing key elements and engagement protocols for the Four Nations. Action: CoA to circulate Four Nations Governance NRM to PMG. s. 47F(1) advised of initial concerns around engagement of s. 47F(1) are not necessarily as much as a concern now. PMG noted it may be a case of asking First

			Nations groups representatives 'if not s. 47F(1) than who?' Action: (ALL) undertake further internal discussions to understand what may constitute permission to proceed with Tentative Submission. PMG noted that determining what consent is appropriate for the Tentative Submission is a priority to allow for confidence with this initial document. Action: (CoA) seek advice as to what consents are required for Tentative Submission from potential First Nations consultant.
2.5	Other updates? ➤ PMG to discuss	All	None
3.0	Items for Discussion & Decision		
3.1	Governance Structure ➤ Following City of Adelaide letter to CEOs, agree to create and Oversight Committee, agree to prepared terms of reference, agree date of first meeting (1 August 2023 TBC) and seek details of proposed proxies for CEOs. Attachments: - Draft Governance Roadmap - Oversight Committee draft Terms of Reference - Forward Program of meetings for groups in Governance Structure ➤ Agree on seeking further members for the Oversight Committee: ▪ Representative of Department for Climate Change, Environment, Energy and Water	All	PMG discussed Governance structure and noted the proposed date of the initial meeting. PMG discussed the Terms of reference and membership of the Oversight Committee, noting that additional representatives including representatives of First Nations groups on this committee is essential. Action: (ALL) provide feedback to CoA on Oversight Committee Terms of Reference. The formation of a Community Reference Group was discussed. The key notes were that many such groups have already been on a journey through the earlier WH bid and there is a perception of the project being 'dead and buried' with a need to change that perception. s. 47F(1) noted that before we move toward a Community Reference Group it will be advisable to gain more clarity on what will be listed and/or the types of attributes that are likely to be included in the WH bid.

	▪ Other Recommendations from PMG sought? ➤ Community Reference Group ▪ Discuss and agree on approach to assembling community reference group to elevate community input to PMG. ➤ Agree to seek formal support for bid from SA Premier and Federal Ministers for areas included in Bid. ▪ Seek formal advice from s. 47F(1) /Department for Environment and Water relating for appropriate method to communicate with Premier and Ministers.		Action: s. 47F(1) to send details of existing mapping to CoA for further consideration. Investigate options to continue mapping collaboration with University. Regarding the Community Reference Group, the need for a revised/new website was discussed. s. 47F(1) advised of their control of existing website which may benefit from going into hiatus. CoA advised of early consideration of a website with further detail to be provided as available. Action: CoA to advise PMG of progress of revised/new website investigations. Adelaide Hills to pause existing Mt Lofty website pending further work. s. 47F(1) noted opportunity for bid-partner Councils to include updated information on their respective websites. PMG discussed seeking further support of SA Premier and federal ministers. s. 47F(1) noted the difficulty and extensive administrative requirements in seeking this support. PMG generally noted that this may be better discussed and progressed through Oversight Committee. As an initial action, we may want to seek support from shadow environment minister to ensure ongoing support in the case of a change of government. PMG agreed it would be a benefit to have a developed Tentative Submission document before seeking additional supports particularly as we will need to clarify our messaging for the broader community. Action: CoA/DEW investigate options to seek support from shadow minister for environment.
3.2	Development of Tentative Submission ➤ Agree on approach to preparing the Tentative Submission	All	PMG provided an overview of how the project has progressed to its current point. s. 47F(1) advised the WH was initially conceived by Thinker in Residence, Randy Stringer seeking World

	Engage Consultant to: a) Prepare Tentative Submission based on Outstanding Universal Values detailed in existing s. 47F(1) Report b) First undertake additional research as recommended in s. 47F(1) Report to form part of the Tentative Submission, e.g. ▪ Undertake thematic study of European Colonial Settlement Models ▪ Undertake a thematic study of European Colonial planned towns, including some comparative analysis ▪ Undertake further research on specific issues relating to Adelaide and the Rural Landscape (Note delay to submission until research is completed).	Heritage listing of Agricultural landscapes and progressed to a feasibility study. The study recommended that a national heritage listing would be required first, but the NH listing was ultimately unsuccessful because a NH listing cannot support serial listings and the boundary would have otherwise been too great. s. 47F(1) noted that the current bid was created from the combination of the efforts to WH list the City of Adelaide Layout & Park Lands and the Mt Lofty Ranges. The result was the feasibility study by Duncan Marshall detailing the potential Outstanding Universal Values based upon the colonial settlement patterns. A workshop was held to move the project forward following identification of the OUVs. The PMG noted that significant challenges in community and first nations engagement greatly slowed the project. PMG discussed the preparation of the Tentative Submission and Duncan Marshall's recommendation for additional research to support the documents integrity. s. 47F(1) noted that the research approach would be iterative, and the PMG agreed that understanding what extent of research is required initially. Action: CoA to discuss with s. 47F(1) the extent of additional research recommended for incorporation into Tentative Submission, particularly to inform costs in this first stage. Action: s. 47F(1) and s. 47F(1) to meet with s. 47F(1) to investigate and informally convene the author group to prepare the Tentative Submission.
3.3	How can we keep the momentum?	PMG agreed that starting strong with a successful and detailed initial

	➤ PMG to discuss opportunities to keep momentum	Oversight Committee is key to the ongoing success of the ARSL bid. s. 47F(1) advised of DEWs availability to provide a briefing at the initial meeting of the Oversight Committee, to discuss the Flinders Ranges bid and particularly mentioning the lengthy timeframes involved, the particular steps and what you 'get' from a WH listing. Action: (ALL) provide advice to CoA as to content/agenda for first Oversight Committee meeting. Action: CoA to contact s. 47F(1) to see if he is available to brief Oversight Committee
3.4	Other Matters? ➤ PMG to discuss	s. 47F(1) advised of the opportunity to move forward the project that has significant opportunities for progressing reconciliation in SA in this year of The Voice. PMG discussed the need to be able to clearly identify and map strategic risks for the project, so that all parties can be aware/advise upwards as needed and move forward with eyes wide open. Action: CoA to continue preparing draft ARSL Project Plan and provide to the PMG for review/adoption.
4.0	Next Steps	
4.1	➤ Action assigned items ➤ Report up with within our respective organisations/groups ➤ Note date of next meeting Monday 7th August 2023	Action: CoA to prepare minutes Action: Oversight Committee Terms of Reference to be agreed out of session – PMG members to advise acceptance or provide review/comment. Note: First date of Oversight Committee to be nominally 1 August 2023 TBA.



DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION

Calendar of Meetings for World Heritage Groups and Committees

July 23	August 23	Sept 23	Oct 23	Nov 23	Dec 23
3 July 10.30 - 11 Inter-Agency PMG meeting	1 August 10.30 - 12 Inter-Agency OC meeting 7 August 10.30 - 11 Inter-Agency PMG meeting	11 Sept 10.30 - 11 Inter-Agency PMG meeting	9 October 10.30 - 11 Inter-Agency PMG meeting	6 November 10.30 - 11 Inter-Agency PMG meeting TBA Inter-Agency OC meeting	4 December 10.30 - 11 Inter-Agency PMG meeting
Jan 24	Feb 24	March 24	April 24	May 24	June 24
	Inter-Agency PMG meeting Inter-Agency OC meeting	Inter-Agency PMG meeting	Inter-Agency PMG meeting	Inter-Agency PMG meeting Inter-Agency OC meeting	Inter-Agency PMG meeting
July 24	August 24	Sept 24	Oct 24	Nov 24	Dec 24
Inter-Agency PMG meeting	Inter-Agency PMG meeting Inter-Agency OC meeting	Inter-Agency PMG meeting	Inter-Agency PMG meeting	Inter-Agency PMG meeting Inter-Agency OC meeting	Inter-Agency PMG meeting

Abbreviations


- Inter-Agency PMG - Inter-Agency Project Management Group
- Inter-Agency OC - Inter-Agency Oversight Committee

Please Note:


Future Group meetings to be organised and incorporated into Calendar once Governance Structure is agreed by Oversight Committee

- *Nomination Working Group* – regular meetings to be held on a as needs basis to review documents.
- *Stakeholder Reference Group* (yet to be determined)
 - *Community Reference Group* (yet to be determined)
 - *First Nations Reference Group* (yet to be determined)

Adelaide and Rural Settlement Landscapes World Heritage Draft Governance Roadmap



DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION



Released by DCCEEW under the FOI Act 1982

Adelaide and its Rural settlement Landscapes, World Heritage – Indigenous cultural heritage scope (revised 26/06/2023)

s. 47F(1)

1. Introduction

The Aboriginal cultural heritage tasks are vital to the adequacy and success of the Tentative List submission and subsequent World Heritage nomination. But these are complex tasks. There is a need to develop a relationship with Aboriginal groups, building on the previous MoU, audit available sources and for the groups to decide their role in this process, if any. An initial strategic overview on the Aboriginal experience of colonisation is possible in the first stage of the project based on existing sources, but this will not reflect the outcomes of the consultation necessary to establish an agreed narrative. This would be the objective of a later stage, where more detailed work can be undertaken.

It is important to see this project as a step in a longer-term process to engage with and maintain a relationship with Aboriginal groups through the overall nomination process and subsequent management of the World Heritage property.

2. Research Brief from Councils

This additional work includes the development of a First Nations narrative to be incorporated into the colonisation story. The inclusion of a First Nations narrative is crucial to inform the Tentative List submission and will add value to the truth telling process. The additional work will identify the research required to recognise and integrate the prior and continuing Aboriginal history, landscape and people into the proposed nomination. Re-phrasing the brief provided, this work includes, but is not limited to:

- o Determining the historical and contemporary geographical location of Aboriginal groups in Adelaide and surrounding areas (Barossa, Adelaide Hills, Mount Barker and Onkaparinga)*
- o Exploring and documenting Aboriginal histories, cultures and perspectives regarding the establishment of the colony of South Australia in 1836*
- o Analysing and recording Aboriginal people's experiences before and after the arrival of Europeans*
- o Researching and providing tangible examples of places where European settlement directly overlaid pre-existing Aboriginal sites and infrastructure such as Dreaming tracks and roads, meeting places, and watering sites. Demonstrating how these sites became the location of European settlement, agriculture and industry.*
- o Evaluating and contextualising the significance of King William IV's Letters Patent (February 1836). (Eg was the provision for the continual occupation of (South Australian) Aboriginal people and their descendants on land currently occupied and enjoyed by them unique to Australia and the world? Were the provisions upheld? Why not?)*
- Identify Aboriginal people and their allies relevant to the identified World Heritage area who should be engaged with as part of the research and truth-telling process*

- *Identify steps required to engage with the Aboriginal communities about the project and seek formal support through Memorandum of Understanding or other mechanisms*

3. Scope Analysis

3.1 Scope Analysis: Geographic Area and Aboriginal Cultural Groups

The Brief and previous discussion mentions Adelaide, Barossa, Adelaide Hills, Mount Barker and Onkaparinga (relevant Council Areas). The potential inclusion of districts of the Fleurieu Peninsula (e.g. Yankalilla Council) and Encounter Bay (Alexandrina Council) is unknown at present. The Scope definitely includes Kurna (the Adelaide Plains and western edge of Mt. Lofty Ranges) and Peramangk (Mt Lofty Ranges). It potentially involves additional Aboriginal groups such as Ngarrindjeri (lower Murray, Encounter Bay) and Ngadjuri (north of the Light River).

Ultimately, the geographical area will be refined according to the distribution of OUVs (Outstanding Universal Value). Until the geographical area has been clarified, engagement with Kurna and Peramangk is recommended. Kurna Yerta Aboriginal Corporation (KYAC) is the Registered Native Title Body Corporate (RNTBC) for the Kurna native title holders and traditional owners, and the focus for Kurna cultural heritage management (with the assistance of RAWsa). Currently, there is no central organisation or contact point for people who identify as Peramangk, which makes engagement with this group more complex.

It is important to point out that the successful completion of the project tasks and ultimately achieving a WH nomination require developing and maintaining a relationship with Aboriginal communities, building on the previous MoU, and the form and nature of their engagement are matters to be decided/agreed by them.

3.2 Scope Analysis: Requirements of the Research Brief

The research required by the brief is complex and requires a range of qualifications and skills including anthropology, archaeology, history, and GIS Geographic Information Systems (i.e., digital mapping and spatial analysis). As this specific research required has not been conducted before, and previous relevant research experience is critically important. The research also requires input and mentorship in relation to the protocols related to the preparation of World Heritage Tentative List submission reports.

The evidence base will include documentary sources (archival and published), Aboriginal oral histories, and cultural landscapes data (archaeological, ethnographic and historical) including but not limited to places on the landscape with special cultural and heritage significance, both tangible and intangible.

The research process requires at least two stages. Stage 1 involves identifying and engaging with relevant Aboriginal traditional owners and other knowledge holders and collecting institutions (e.g. historical societies and local libraries, Department of Aboriginal Affairs and Reconciliation, State Library of South Australia, State Records of South Australia) to seek their cooperation and participation, and to involve them in the design of the research process. This stage involves an audit of potential source material, ranging from archival sources to potential oral history interviewees and relevant geographical sites.

Stage 1 will provide an overview of the likely scope and content for the full report (tentatively referred to as "Stage 2" below as there may be additional stages). Identifying, quantifying, and evaluating potential sources of information will allow a realistic scope and cost to be prepared for

the main research and report-writing work of Stage 2. Staging the research allows for further consultation regarding the overall geographic scope of the World Heritage bid and OUV for the Tentative List reporting which are necessary to determine the scope for Stage 2 of the Indigenous cultural heritage work.

The researchers are able to draw on a considerable body of existing data (e.g., documentary evidence collected for the 2017 Kurna Native Title claim, earlier Peramangk research and published sources). However, while a considerable amount of information is readily available to the researchers, it has not been assessed considering the issues relevant to the World Heritage nomination. The data requires analysis in accord with the need to identify and record a "First Nations narrative" that complements the nomination.

In addition to utilizing historical sources, the oral histories of relevant Aboriginal people are essential. This requires engagement and gaining the in-principle agreement of potential participants, both of which require time and funding to accomplish. Even so, collaborative recording of this narrative will have to be very strategic and tightly focussed on the topic (rather than an extensive recording of colonial history to the present day – even within the potential World Heritage area), because of the time and cost limitations that will apply to this initiative. Ideally a State-level "truth-telling" process will proceed in the future, which should address broader aspects of Aboriginal people's experiences of colonization.

Relevance of the research to be pursued will be identified both in terms of geographic coincidence with the OUV story, but also intersections of historical processes, events, and places to the present day.

3.3 Free, Prior and Informed Consent

While the project brief does not explicitly refer to FPIC, it is implicit in the task related to achieving "formal support" for a World Heritage nomination.

There are no firm guidelines or specific standards related to FPIC in this case, although it is noted the Australian Heritage Council has developed general guidelines related to National Heritage. Key points include identifying the relevant communities, that achieving consent is a process as much as an outcome, and that the communities themselves need to determine what consent looks like.

In this spirit, much of the proposed project is related to building a relationship with the Aboriginal communities, as a pathway to engaging in discussions about what consent might look like and how it can be achieved. Of course, information about the narrative is also vital for the nomination itself.

Accordingly, while engagement will begin regarding exploring the narrative, the issue of consent will also be discussed early as, for example, consent will be required to use the narrative provided. But the other aspects of consent, about a World Heritage Tentative List submission (as a first step), and later about the nomination itself, would also be carefully explored. Perhaps it may be possible to achieve quick resolution about consent. But this may also take some time, especially as there will need to be wider consultations within the communities. An indication about progress with such discussions can be provided during the project, especially in Stage 1.


One objective would be to seek to have a clear understanding about the likelihood of and pathway to consent by the end of the first stage, if not something more.

As noted, exploring consent issues with the Kurna community may be more straightforward compared to the Peramangk community because of the central organisation of the former. None

the less, consultations will be attempted with both communities, and the Mount Lofty Districts Historical Society project may prove a helpful avenue for engagement with the Peramangk community. In the first instance, consultation about FPIC would be conducted independently with each Aboriginal Traditional Owner group involved, with the aim to bring that discussion into a single framework if that is acceptable to the participants.

4. Consultants' Qualifications and Experience.

s. 47F(1)



5. Proposed Scope and Cost Estimate

5.1 Project Scope

Stage 1: engage s. 47F(1) with s. 47F(1) as project manager to deal with the set of questions in the Brief including:

- Identifying and engaging with the relevant Aboriginal groups (insofar as the tentative geographic definition allows) and other knowledge stakeholders, including suitable forms of agreement for their participation and knowledge-sharing (e.g., MOUs with Aboriginal representative organisations)
- Identifying oral history, documentary and geospatial information sources with a specific plan and costings to conduct that research, to support the acquisition of additional funding for Stage Two
- Studying and learning from other nomination projects (e.g. Victorian Goldfields (Ballarat), Flinders Ranges SA, and the successful Victorian Budj Bim World Heritage nomination) and reviewing relevant information from the previous Mt Lofty Ranges project (including Kurna MOU)
- Based on this work, provide an initial strategic overview of what an Aboriginal narrative focussed on colonisation and the OUV and significant places and landscapes would look like, in answer to the questions in the brief, but this will not be tested or agreed with Aboriginal groups until the later stage
- Provide a detailed plan and costings to conduct the main body of research needed to provide a report that supports the Tentative List submission. Investigate whether some of the stakeholders engaged with may be able to bring funding or services to contribute.

Stage 2: after review and discussion of the Stage one results and consideration of the resources available, conduct and manage the more detailed research and reporting to contribute to the tentative nomination.

5.2 Methodology Outline

s. 47F(1) will work together on some tasks (e.g., stakeholder engagement meetings) and conduct others separately (e.g., s. 47F(1) will focus on historical questions and sources, s. 47F(1) on anthropology (including Aboriginal engagement), archaeology and cultural mapping. Information will be shared as the work progresses, and a single, unified Stage 1 report and recommendations is envisaged. A similar process is proposed for Stage 2, with an expanded group of research participants and stakeholders.

Deliverables also may include facilitation of engagement with Councils for MOUs with Indigenous groups or other stakeholders.

4.3 Project Costs

s. 47F(1), s. 47G(1)(a)

4.4 Time Frame

Approximately 6 months is suggested as the time frame for conducting Stage 1, particularly to provide for consultation and response time with Traditional Owner groups, as well as other work commitments for the heritage consultants. That time frame might vary if the Traditional Owner engagement process requires more time. The extent and timing of Stage Two will depend on the results of Stage 1, the available financial resources for Stage 2 work, and the overall progress with development of the World Heritage Tentative List submission, and its geographic scope.

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Sent: Monday, July 31, 2023 5:00 PM
To: s. 47F(1)
Cc: s. 47F(1); s. 22(1)(a)(ii) s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
 s. 22(1)(a)(ii) s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Subject: RE: City of Adelaide World Heritage Oversight Committee meeting - Adelaide and Rural Settlement Landscapes [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Hi s. 47F(1),

Thank you for the invitation.

I can confirm I am available to attend tomorrow. I will discuss with my director tomorrow morning but would it be OK to invite a couple of additional staff from here as well?

Thanks,
 s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: s. 47F(1) @cityofadelaide.com.au>
Sent: Monday, July 31, 2023 4:52 PM
To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>
Cc: s. 47F(1) @cityofadelaide.com.au>; s. 47F(1) @adelaidecitycouncil.com>
Subject: City of Adelaide re: World Heritage Oversight Committee meeting - Adelaide and Rural Settlement Landscapes

Hi s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

Sorry for the very short notice, tomorrow we have organised a briefing for Local Councils on the proposed 'Adelaide and Rural Settlement Landscapes' World Heritage bid (the combined Mt Lofty region and City of Adelaide World Heritage bids).

This will include expert briefings from World Heritage briefing from s. 47F(1) and with input from s. 47F(1) and Dept. Environment and Water (SA).

As DCCEEW are responsible for elevating any World Heritage Bid to the World Heritage bodies, It would likely be advantageous to have representation from DCCEEW at the meeting.

If you or members of your team would like to attend remotely, we are more than happy to provide a Teams link.

The meeting is to be held at 10:30-12:00pm (Adelaide time).

Please contact me with any queries.

Regards,

s. 47F(1)

s. 47F(1)
Senior Heritage Architect
City Planning & Heritage
 2nd Floor 25 Pirie Street
 Adelaide, South Australia, 5000
 s. 47F(1)
[@cityofadelaide.com.au](mailto:s.47F(1)@cityofadelaide.com.au)

Released by DCCEEW under the FOI Act 1982

**Aboriginal and
Torres Strait Islander Voice**
Get informed at Voice.gov.au



www.cityofadelaide.com.au



Think before you print!

The contents of this e-mail are confidential and may be subject to privilege and copyright. This e-mail is intended for the named recipient only and if you have received this e-mail in error please notify the City Of Adelaide immediately on +61(8) 8203 7203. The views expressed in this e-mail are, unless otherwise stated, those of the author and do not reflect the views, policy or position of the City of Adelaide and the City of Adelaide accepts no responsibility for any such opinions, advice or information.

Released by DCCFEW under the FOI Act 1982

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Sent: Tuesday, August 1, 2023 9:30 AM
To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Subject: FW: City of Adelaide World Heritage Oversight Committee meeting - Adelaide and Rural Settlement Landscapes [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Hi s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

Any advice on who you think should attend this from here? s. 47F(1) has extended the invite to all – are you happy for s. 22(1)(a)(ii) and s. 22(1)(a)(ii) to both also attend if they have the time?

Thanks,

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: s. 47F(1) @cityofadelaide.com.au>
Sent: Monday, July 31, 2023 5:36 PM
To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>
Cc: s. 47F(1) @cityofadelaide.com.au>; s. 47F(1) @adelaidecitycouncil.com>; s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>; s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>; s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>; s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>; s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>
Subject: RE: City of Adelaide World Heritage Oversight Committee meeting - Adelaide and Rural Settlement Landscapes [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Hi s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

Thank you for getting back to me so quickly.

That will be fine - I'll send through a meeting request with the Teams link to the cc'd people above.

Kind regards,

s. 47F(1)

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>
Sent: Monday, July 31, 2023 4:30 PM
To: s. 47F(1) @cityofadelaide.com.au>
Cc: s. 47F(1) @cityofadelaide.com.au>; s. 47F(1) @adelaidecitycouncil.com>; s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>; s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>; s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>; s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>
Subject: RE: City of Adelaide World Heritage Oversight Committee meeting - Adelaide and Rural Settlement Landscapes [SEC=OFFICIAL]

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi s. 47F(1)

Thank you for the invitation.

I can confirm I am available to attend tomorrow. I will discuss with my director tomorrow morning but would it be OK to invite a couple of additional staff from here as well?

Thanks,
s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: s. 47F(1) <[s.47F\(1\)@cityofadelaide.com.au](mailto:s.47F(1)@cityofadelaide.com.au)>
Sent: Monday, July 31, 2023 4:52 PM
To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) <[s.22\(1\)\(a\)\(ii\)@dcceew.gov.au](mailto:s.22(1)(a)(ii)@dcceew.gov.au)>
Cc: s. 47F(1) <[s.47F\(1\)@cityofadelaide.com.au](mailto:s.47F(1)@cityofadelaide.com.au)>; s. 47F(1) <[s.47F\(1\)@adelaidecitycouncil.com](mailto:s.47F(1)@adelaidecitycouncil.com)>
Subject: City of Adelaide re: World Heritage Oversight Committee meeting - Adelaide and Rural Settlement Landscapes

Hi s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

Sorry for the very short notice, tomorrow we have organised a briefing for Local Councils on the proposed 'Adelaide and Rural Settlement Landscapes' World Heritage bid (the combined Mt Lofty region and City of Adelaide World Heritage bids).

This will include expert briefings from World Heritage briefing from s. 47F(1) and with input from s. 47F(1) and Dept. Environment and Water (SA).

As DCCEEW are responsible for elevating any World Heritage Bid to the World Heritage bodies, It would likely be advantageous to have representation from DCCEEW at the meeting.

If you or members of your team would like to attend remotely, we are more than happy to provide a Teams link.

The meeting is to be held at 10:30-12:00pm (Adelaide time).

Please contact me with any queries.

Regards,

s. 47F(1)

s. 47F(1)
Senior Heritage Architect
City Planning & Heritage
 2nd Floor 25 Pirie Street
 Adelaide, South Australia, 5000

s. 47F(1)
[@cityofadelaide.com.au](mailto:s.47F(1)@cityofadelaide.com.au)



www.cityofadelaide.com.au



Think before you print!

The contents of this e-mail are confidential and may be subject to privilege and copyright. This e-mail is intended for the named recipient only and if you have received this e-mail in error please notify the City Of Adelaide immediately on +61(8) 8203 7203. The views expressed in this e-mail are, unless otherwise stated, those of the author and do not reflect the views, policy or position of the City of Adelaide and the City of Adelaide accepts no responsibility for any such opinions, advice or information.

----- IMPORTANT - This email and any attachments have been issued by the Australian Government Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment. The material transmitted is for the use of the intended recipient only and may contain confidential, legally privileged, copyright or personal information. You should not copy, use or disclose it without authorisation from the Department. It is your responsibility to check any attachments for viruses and defects before opening or forwarding them. If you are not an intended recipient, please contact the sender of this email at once by return email and then delete both messages. Unintended recipients must not copy, use, disclose, rely on or publish this email or attachments. The Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from unauthorised use or dissemination of, or any reliance on, this email or attachments. If you have received this e-mail as part of a valid mailing list and no longer want to receive a message such as this one, advise the sender by return e-mail accordingly. This notice should not be deleted or altered -----

Released by DCCFEW under the FOI Act 1982

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

Subject: FW: Meeting: Adelaide and Rural Settlement Landscapes World Heritage Listing Oversight Committee

Location: Adelaide Town Hall, Colonel Light Room - please access the Town Hall via the main entrance on King William Street and report to the security desk

Start: Tue 8/1/2023 11:00 AM

End: Tue 8/1/2023 12:30 PM

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Accepted

Organizer: s. 47F(1)

Hi s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

-----Original Appointment-----

From: s. 47F(1) @cityofadelaide.com.au>

Sent: Friday, July 21, 2023 12:47 PM

To: s. 47F(1) ; s. 47F(1) @sa.gov.au; s. 47F(1) @sa.gov.au; s. 47F(1) @mtbarker.sa.gov.au; s. 47F(1) @barossa.sa.gov.au; s. 47F(1) s. 47F(1) s. 47F(1) @barossa.sa.gov.au; s. 47F(1) @onkaparinga.sa.gov.au; s. 47F(1) @mountbarker.sa.gov.au; s. 47F(1) ; s. 47F(1) @ahc.sa.gov.au

Cc: s. 47F(1) ; Civic Events; s. 47F(1) ; s. 47F(1) ; s. 47F(1) ; s. 47F(1) @mountbarker.sa.gov.au; s. 47F(1)

Subject: Meeting: Adelaide and Rural Settlement Landscapes World Heritage Listing Oversight Committee

When: Tuesday, 1 August 2023 10:30 AM-12:00 PM (UTC+09:30) Adelaide.

Where: Adelaide Town Hall, Colonel Light Room - please access the Town Hall via the main entrance on King William Street and report to the security desk

To reinvigorate our partnership approach to progress the World Heritage submission, you are invited to meet with our fellow World Heritage bid partners at Chief Executive level.

The meeting will provide a broad overview of the project with briefings from experts providing an understanding of the current status of the World Heritage bid and advising of future work to come.

Please see attached the draft governance structure which proposes an oversight committee at Chief Executive level.

An agenda for the meeting will be sent closer to the date.

I look forward to meeting with you.

Yours sincerely

s. 47F(1)

s. 47F(1)

Chief Executive Officer

Executive Assistant – s. 47F(1)

T s. 47F(1)
Es. 47F(1) @cityofadelaide.com.au

Kaurna Country

Ground Floor 5 Pirie Street
Adelaide, South Australia, 5000



cityofadelaide.com.au

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer, mobile app or room device

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

Meeting ID: 431 425 977 297

Passcode: piSffu

[Download Teams](#) | [Join on the web](#)

Or call in (audio only)

[+61 2 8318 0009, 136570457#](#) Australia, Sydney

Phone Conference ID: 136 570 457#

[Find a local number](#) | [Reset PIN](#)

[Learn More](#) | [Meeting options](#)

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Sent: Tuesday, August 1, 2023 10:58 AM
To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Subject: FW: Agenda Pack - Oversight Committee - Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes (ARSL) - 1 August 2023 10:30-12:00pm (Adelaide) [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Attachments: ARSL OC 1 Aug 2023 - Item 2.1.1 - Adelaide and Rural Settlement Landscapes World Heritage Report by s. 47F(1) (December 2021).PDF; ARSL OC 1 Aug 2023 - AGENDA.DOCX; ARSL OC 1 Aug 2023 - Item 2.3.1 - Letter from Minister Close (23Jan2023).PDF; ARSL OC 1 Aug 2023 - Item 3.1.1 - World Heritage Oversight Committee draft Terms of Reference.DOCX; ARSL OC 1 Aug 2023 - Item 3.3.2 - Draft Minutes PMG 3 July 2023.DOCX; ARSL OC 1 Aug 2023 - Item 3.1.3 - ARSL Governance Roadmap (PMG Approved).PDF; ARSL OC 1 Aug 2023 - Item 3.1.4 - Calendar of Meetings for World Heritage Groups and Committees 2023 24.DOCX; ARSL (World Heritage) Detailed Governance Overview -DRAFT (18.07.2023).PDF; World Heritage First Nations Consultant Brief - June 2023.PDF

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Hi all,

Looks like this didn't go to you. At this late stage I don't think we'd be expected to have read anything – second attachment is the agenda though FYI.

Cheers,

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: s. 47F(1) <@cityofadelaide.com.au>
Sent: Monday, July 31, 2023 6:18 PM
To: s. 47F(1) <@mountbarker.sa.gov.au>; s. 47F(1) <@sa.gov.au>; s. 47F(1) <@sa.gov.au>; s. 47F(1) <@barossa.sa.gov.au>; s. 47F(1) <@ahc.sa.gov.au>; s. 47F(1) <@ahc.sa.gov.au>; s. 47F(1) <@onkaparinga.sa.gov.au>; s. 47F(1) <@onkaparinga.sa.gov.au>; s. 22(1)(a)(ii) <@dcceew.gov.au>
Cc: s. 47F(1) <@cityofadelaide.com.au>; s. 47F(1) <cityofadelaide.com.au>; s. 47F(1) <@adelaidecitycouncil.com>
Subject: Agenda Pack - Oversight Committee - Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes (ARSL) - 1 August 2023 10:30-12:00pm (Adelaide)

On behalf of the Oversight Committee, please find attached Agenda and meeting papers.

Kind regards,

s. 47F(1)

s. 47F(1)
Senior Heritage Architect
City Planning & Heritage
 2nd Floor 25 Pirie Street
 Adelaide, South Australia, 5000
 s. 47F(1)
 @cityofadelaide.com.au

Released by DCCEEW under the FOI Act 1982

**Aboriginal and
Torres Strait Islander Voice**
Get informed at Voice.gov.au



www.cityofadelaide.com.au



Think before you print!

The contents of this e-mail are confidential and may be subject to privilege and copyright. This e-mail is intended for the named recipient only and if you have received this e-mail in error please notify the City Of Adelaide immediately on +61(8) 8203 7203. The views expressed in this e-mail are, unless otherwise stated, those of the author and do not reflect the views, policy or position of the City of Adelaide and the City of Adelaide accepts no responsibility for any such opinions, advice or information.

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer, mobile app or room device

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

Meeting ID: 431 425 977 297

Passcode: piSffu

[Download Teams](#) | [Join on the web](#)

Or call in (audio only)

[+61 2 8318 0009,,136570457#](#) Australia, Sydney

Phone Conference ID: 136 570 457#

[Find a local number](#) | [Reset PIN](#)

[Learn More](#) | [Meeting options](#)

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2023 9:57 AM
To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Cc: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Subject: RE: Timing for WH projects - s. 22 Adelaide & its Rural Settlements Landscapes [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Thanks s. 22(1)(a)(ii) s. 22

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>
Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2023 9:11 AM
To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>; s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>
Cc: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>
Subject: RE: Timing for WH projects - Cornish Mining sites and Adelaide & its Rural Settlements Landscapes [SEC=OFFICIAL]

The notes from the Adelaide meeting that I took say :

- Aiming to submit nominations dossier to WHC subject to Preliminary Assessment in February 2025
- Between 2023-2024 – seeking FPIC and landholder agreement, developing strategic management framework, writing and reviewing nomination dossier

Apologies I don't have much more!

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>
Sent: Monday, October 16, 2023 4:36 PM
To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>
Cc: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>; s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>
Subject: RE: Timing for WH projects - s. 22 and Adelaide & its Rural Settlements Landscapes [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Thanks s. 22(1)(a)(ii), that's helpful! I'll add these notes to the table. Any other information would be greatly appreciated.

Cheers,

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>
Sent: Monday, October 16, 2023 2:25 PM
To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>
Cc: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>; s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @dcceew.gov.au>
Subject: Timing for WH projects - s. 22 Adelaide & its Rural Settlements Landscapes [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Hi again s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

Further to my emails about the timing of our World Heritage projects, I've checked my notes of recent meetings on the other projects, and this what I've written:

Adelaide and its Rural Settlements Landscapes – some way off, will need to engage and consult with Indigenous groups and on First Nations values first. (ie no definite dates attached)

s. 22

s. 22(1)(a)(ii) and s. 22(1)(a)(ii), does this align with your understanding? Did either of you type up any meeting record from either of these? I'll type up something eventually if not and send it around, but s. 22(1)(a)(ii) I can in the meantime send you my scanned notes if you can make sense of them! (just waiting for printer to cooperate...)

Cheers,

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

Released by DCCFEW under the FOI Act 1982

Adelaide and its Rural Settlement landscapes - World Heritage Overview - 1.08.2023

Tuesday, 1 August 2023

11:13 AM

- Submit nominations dossier to WHC subject to PA February 2025
- 2023-2024 - FPIC landholder agreement, strategic management framework, writing and reviewing nomination dossier

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Heritage Report

Division Head – Michelle Dumazel 0466 311 362
Branch Head – James Barker 0407 666 100

As at July 2023

Contents

[illegible]

WORLD HERITAGE NOMINATIONS.....26

S. 22

s. 22

s. 22

s. 22

Group	Very concerned	Somewhat concerned	Not concerned at all
All respondents	68%	28%	4%
U.S. born	69%	27%	4%
White	67%	29%	4%
Democrat/Lean Dem	71%	26%	3%

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

S. 22

Released by DCCFEW under the FOI Act 1982

Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes proposed World Heritage nomination[Director: [s. 22\(1\)\(a\)\(ii\)](#); lead: [s. 22\(1\)\(a\)\(ii\)](#)]

- The Adelaide Parklands and City Layout is included on the National Heritage List.
- In 2020, the Adelaide City Council commissioned a report on the feasibility of nominating the Adelaide Parklands and City Layout to the World Heritage List.
- The [report](#), written by [s. 47F\(1\)](#), recommended combining the nomination with an earlier proposed World Heritage nomination, *the systematic colonisation settlement landscape of South Australia* (known as the Mount Lofty Ranges World Heritage nomination bid).
- The Mount Lofty Ranges [proposed World Heritage nomination](#) began in 2013 through a joint effort of nine local councils.
- The Mount Lofty Ranges was nominated to the NHL in 2017, however was not included on the FPAL for assessment. The nomination included an area of around 250 km in length running along the eastern and southern edge of metropolitan Adelaide.
- The combined World Heritage nomination bid is now called *Adelaide and its Rural Settlement Landscapes*.
- A department representative attended a two-day workshop on the combined nomination held in Adelaide on 25-26 August 2022. The workshop was funded by the Adelaide City Council and the Adelaide Hills Council and led by [s.47F\(1\)](#). Representatives of Heritage SA also attended the workshop.
- To date, the nomination is being developed at the local council level and the South Australian government is yet to provide formal support for the nomination.
- In September 2022, the South Australian Government announced plans to build a new hospital within the Adelaide Parklands and to relocate the current Thebarton Police Barracks to elsewhere in the parklands.
- In October 2022, the South Australian Government revoked its support for the Adelaide Parklands and City Layout to be designated as a State Heritage Area, leaving the Adelaide Parklands with no state level heritage protections.
- It is unclear how a world heritage bid would progress without state level protections in place and significant developments being undertaken within the parklands.
- Next steps would be for the Adelaide City Council and the Adelaide Hills Council to engage the South Australian government to progress the nomination.

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

S. 22

World Heritage proposed nominations (Historic)

	Place	State	Officer	Project lead/ nominator	Current status
S. 22	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

[illegible]

6.	Adelaide Parklands and Mount Lofty - an agricultural landscape, embodying the Wakefield settlement and colonisation scheme of the 1800s	SA	Potentially Historic, Natural, Indigenous	- supported by s. 47F(1) [REDACTED] heritage consultant.	Town Hall public meeting held Sept 2022. Working group meeting
s. 22 [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]				s. 22 [REDACTED]	
-----------------------	--	--	--	--	--